

Submitted July 2, 2017

To the Attention of:

PRESIDENT DONALD J. TRUMP – THE WHITE HOUSE

C/O:

Ricardo Beas

Chula Vista, CA

██████████@hotmail.com

██████████

<p>U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ATTN: HONORABLE JEFF SESSIONS ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW C/O CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION, Criminal Section a PHB Washington, DC 20530 Email: AskDOJ@usdoj.com Ph: 202-514-4609</p>	<p>U.S. DEPT. OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES ATTN: THOMAS E. PRICE, M.D. SECRETARY OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES C/O OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS Email: ocrmail@hhs.gov Ph: 202-619-0403 ----- U.S. DEPT. OF EDUCATION ATTN: BETSY DEVOS, SECRETARY OF EDUCATION C/O OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS Email: ocrmail@hhs.gov Ph: 800-421-3481</p>
---	---

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT: VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY
BY CRIMINAL PARTICIPANTS -- FIRST AMENDMENT¹ DEMAND FOR CIVIL AND CRIMINAL PROSECUTION
AND WITHOLDING OF FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE – RESTITUTION FOR HARM AND INJURY**

CRIMINALLY INVOLVED PARTICIPANTS – VIOLATORS OF BASIC HUMAN RIGHTS:

- California Gov. Jerry Brown, Sen. Richard Pan, Sen. Ben Allen, Assembly Member Lorena Gonzalez Fletcher, Their Staffs and Associates, et al
- Other California Government Officials involved in the passing of AB 2109 and SB 277, et al
- Tom Frieden, Julie L. Gerberding, Paul A. Offit, William Thompson, Other CDC Employees, et al
- Dorit Rubinstein Reiss and U.C. Hastings College of Law Board of Directors, staff, et al
- Merck Group, Merck, Merck and Co., Inc., Other Vaccine Manufacturers, et al, as may be involved:
 - Their Board of Directors, majority stock holders, top operating management officers, heads of Sales and Marketing departments, lobbying representatives, individual agents, et al
- Blue Cross, Blue Shield, Blue Care Network, Blue Cross/Blue Shield Association, et al
- Takashi Wada MD, Director, California Department of Public Health, Santa Barbara, et al;
- All California County Departments of Health, Management personnel, et al
- National Association of County and City Health Officials (NACCHO) management personnel, et al
- Dr. David Reynaldo, Dr. Martha Layla Dipp, Sharp, Sharp Healthcare, Sharp Rees-Stealy, et al
- Wilma J. Wotten, MD, Health Officer & Director, County of San Diego Health & Human Services Agency
- California Department of Education and all California Elementary, Middle and High Schools staff, et al
- University of California Board of Regents, et al
- Francisco Escobedo, Nancy Kerwin, Ernesto Villanueva, Chula Vista Elementary School District, et al
- Chula Vista Elementary School District (California) Legal Representative Dean T. Adams
- ET AL Violating or Threatening to Violate my God-Given, Natural, Common Law, State, Federal and International Rights to Not Vaccinate Myself and My Family Based on our Personal, Health, Medical and Religious Rights and Beliefs.

--- ALL OF THE ABOVE HEREINAFTER REFERRED TO AS “CRIMINAL PARTICIPANTS”

¹ First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, “**The right of the People ... to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.**” – In the instant complaint an injury, injustice and wrong which gives grounds for complaint because it is unjust and oppressive. See “Grievance,” Black’s Law Dictionary, Revised Fourth Edition.

NOTE: To view document in electronic format to access all internet links provided click below:

http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Criminal_Complaint_-_Vaccines_-_US_Dept_Justice.pdf

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT:

I, Ricardo Beas, a complainant in the instant matter, declare that the following is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, beliefs and upon information. I further declare that the above named persons, individuals, institutions, fictitious entities and governmental agencies, hereinafter referred to as "Criminal Participants," are acting contrary and against my God-given, Natural, Common Law, California State and U.S. Constitutional, and International rights, as well as such rights of thousands of other residents and citizens of this country, by meticulously promoting and forcing through an elaborate conspiracy of corrupt acts the changing, modification and revision of state and federal laws, while at the same time misleading the general public through propaganda,² in order to make vaccination mandatory for the following human beings:

- (1) Pregnant mothers manipulated, encouraged and convinced to receive vaccines while pregnant
- (2) Children upon birth
- (3) Children in order to attend pre-school, elementary school and high school
- (4) Teenagers and adults entering college and higher education
- (5) Teenagers and adults in order to obtain and/or main certain types of employment,
- (6) Adults in order to receive certain government benefits, such as veterans' medical benefits³
- (7) Parents or guardians of children receiving government financial assistance
- (8) Military personnel in order to serve, with those serving facing court martial for refusing to vaccinate
- (9) Children and Adults moving from state to state or entering the country⁴
- (10) All human beings immigrating into the United States

SPECIFIC CRIMINAL ACTS

I hereby request that the U.S. Departments of Justice, Health and Human Services, Education and its associated governmental agencies and branches investigate the above named Criminal Participants, who through conspiratorial practices, individually between and among some or all of the Criminal Participants, are together promoting and forcing economically, commercially and politically an agenda to carry out and accomplish the following goals:

- (1) Make certain vaccines recommended for children by the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) in their vaccine schedule mandatory for such children in a timely fashion in order to attend school, from preschool and kindergarten to high school, throughout the United States.⁵

² See annual flu propaganda exaggerating annual flu deaths, at http://www.huffingtonpost.ca/lawrence-solomon/death-by-influenza_b_4661442.html.

³ See "Veterans: US Senate Passes Bill Approving Mandatory Vaccinations for Veterans," at <http://journal-neo.org/2015/11/15/us-senate-passes-bill-approving-mandatory-vaccinations-for-veterans/>.

⁴ See recently attempted but failed CDC "Final Rule for Control of Communicable Diseases: Interstate and Foreign," at <https://www.cdc.gov/quarantine/final-rule-communicable-diseases.html>.

⁵ See California Senate Bill 277, Public health: vaccinations, at https://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160SB277

- (2) Manipulate and bribe⁶ legislators of all states, in particular the State of California, to create laws that make vaccines mandatory as noted in (1) above.
- (3) Move legislators of all states, in particular the State of California, to eliminate the religious exemption to vaccination, even though such exemption is based on God-given, Natural, Common Law, and U.S. and State Constitutional Rights and cannot be taken away by mere legislation or supposed law, and even though such religious exemption is not even mentioned in California's AB 2109 and SB 277.
- (4) Move legislators of all states, in particular the State of California, to eliminate the personal beliefs exemption to vaccination that is based on U.S. Constitutional rights, noted in the 9th Amendment, which reads, "***The enumeration in the Constitution, of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people***".
- (5) Violate our rights, as recognized by the U.S. Supreme Court, to refuse unwanted medical interventions and the rights of parents to decide what is in their child's best interest.⁷
- (6) Force vaccination upon adults by making certain jobs and employment in certain industries conditional on complying with CDC and company vaccination requirements.⁸
- (7) Create federal and state legislation that penalizes parents for not vaccinating their children, up to and including the removal of affected children from their homes and possible civil penalties and criminal charges for child neglect and similar accusations against such parents, and/or refusal of medical services.⁹

⁶ As used herein "bribe" refers to any individual's illegal and corrupt personal gain provided by third parties for promoting a particular agenda or government policy or regulation related to compulsory and forced vaccination, including but not limited to (1) promotion within such person's organization, corporate or governmental employment, (2) promise of future lucrative employment, (3) promotion within professional circles and participation in multiple like-minded organizations, (4) speaker fees and book deals, (5) direct cash, real estate, asset or other payments of material value, (6) campaign contributions for existing and future governmental positions, (6) expense paid events and trips, (7) gifts and (8) other illegal personal gain schemes *ad nauseam*.

⁷ The U.S. Supreme Court recognizes the right to refuse unwanted medical interventions. See *Cruzan v. Director Missouri Dept. Health*, (1990) 497 U.S. 261, 278]. And, when it comes to the children's best interests, it is the parents, not the State, who shall have the right to make healthcare decisions. A child is not a "mere creature of the State." [*Parham v. J.R.*, (1979) 422 U.S. 584].

⁸ See California Senate Bill 792, "Day care facilities: immunizations: exemptions," at https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160SB792, which violates the California Constitution, Article 1, Section 3, which states, "A person may not be disqualified from entering or pursuing a business, profession, vocation, or employment because of sex, race, CREED, color or national or ethnic origin."

⁹ See Infowars.com report "Doctor calls police, CPS on mother who refuses to vaccinate son" at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=G127_3BNKA; see also California SB 18 and article "Rowdy crowd gives Senator Pan an earful at Town Hall on 'Bill of Rights' for Kids, SB 18," by the California Family Council at <http://www.californiafamily.org/2017/rowdy-crowd-gives-senator-pan-an-earful-at-town-hall-on-bill-of-rights-for-kids-sb-18/>; See also SCR 41, "Bill of Rights for the Children and Youth of California," also by Senator Pan, at http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180SCR41.

- (8) Force parents of unvaccinated children to pay for costs associated with possible outbreaks of vaccine related diseases,¹⁰ even when the evidence shows that vaccinated children have a higher chance of infecting others than non-vaccinated children.¹¹
- (9) Hide the true dangers of vaccines,¹² by manipulating analytical reports, tests, trials, reports, as well as by following a campaign of (a) misinformation to the public about vaccines and their dangers, including fraudulent medical literature that hides the dangers of vaccines,¹³ and (b) attacking any person, institution or entity that attempts to shed light on the truth about vaccine dangers, in particular vaccine danger to babies and children related to autism.¹⁴
- (10) Hide the fact that vaccinated children are the ones that are putting other children, vaccinated and not, in danger of infection to the disease such vaccines are intended to avoid.
- (11) Remove all liability to all persons and institutions associated with the manufacturing, distribution, promotion and administrations of such vaccines as noted above, in particular manipulating the U.S. government into creating a special vaccine court that gives total immunity to such manufactures and others noted above.¹⁵
- (12) Promote vaccination through unreliable providers, such as the flu vaccine in pharmacies and optometrist's offices,¹⁶ noting that such locations do not have the vaccine manufacturer's recommended medical staff and equipment to deal with immediate severe vaccine reactions.¹⁷

¹⁰ See "The Unvaccinated Should Pay for Outbreaks They Cause," by Dorit Rubinstein Reiss, at <https://shotofprevention.com/2014/06/10/the-unvaccinated-should-pay-for-outbreaks-they-cause/>.

¹¹ See "Proof: Vaccinated Children Cause Outbreaks" by Ricardo Beas, at http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Vaccinated_Children_Outbreaks_Flyer.pdf and http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Vaccinated_Children_Cause_Outbreaks.pdf; see also San Diego County Whooping Cough/Pertussis Outbreaks 2011 to 2016, San Diego County News Center, compiled by Ricardo Beas, at http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/San_Diego_County_-_Outbreak_News.pdf.

¹² See Exhibit 1: "Reports, Studies, Documentaries and Medical Expert Declarations Regarding the Dangers of Vaccines and Their Ingredients." See also "Vaccine Peer Review: The History of the Global Vaccination Program in 1000 Peer Reviewed Reports and Studies 1915-2015," at <http://vaccine-injury.info/pdf/vaccinepeerreview.pdf>

¹³ See CDC pamphlet, "Parents Guide to Immunizations," 3rd reprint 08/14, hiding all information regarding vaccine manufacturer inserts that prove the possible and in some cases probable dangers of vaccines and some of the serious and deadly effects that sometimes occur with vaccination. See page 46, "*Some vaccine ingredients could be toxic ... but ... even water – can be toxic given in large amounts.*" Such illogical comparison is made even though vaccines are now being given in multiple doses at the same time, sometimes even more than 10 at a time, which would have a toxic cumulative and synergistic effect; and the manufacturers don't test or track such multi-dose consequences; at <http://www.lindsborghospital.org/docs/parents-guide-508.pdf>. The CDC child vaccine schedule vaccines are purposefully not tested for either carcinogenicity, Mutagenesis or Impairment of Fertility to hide such outcomes. See vaccine insert example, page 11, at http://www.merck.com/product/usa/pi_circulars/v/vagta/vagta_pi.pdf.

¹⁴ See Facebook page for law professor (sic) Dorit Rubinstein Reiss, UC Hastings College of Law, at <https://www.facebook.com/dorit.reiss>.

¹⁵ See "*The Vaccine Court: The Dark Truth of America's Vaccine Injury Compensation Program*", by Wayne Rohde; see also "*Vaccine Villains: What the American Public Should Know about the Industry*", by Robert F. Kennedy Jr. and Anne Dachel. See also phone call between Kennedy and CDC's Paul Offit admitting vaccine harm, at https://www.facebook.com/hashtag/offitforprofit?source=feed_text.

¹⁶ See California AB 443, Optometry: scope of practice, at https://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180AB443.

(13) Create monetary incentive programs for politicians, county health department representatives, school representatives, doctors and medical institutions to bribe them into (a) promoting vaccination and (b) avoiding reporting any adverse effects related to the administration of such vaccines,¹⁸ (c) convincing parents not to report such adverse effect, and (d) intimidating, ridiculing, getting upset at and threatening parents with some sort of action (such as psychiatric treatment) for believing vaccines may be harmful in any way and for not vaccinating their children.

(14) Through false advertising and commercial sponsorship using the published, internet and televised media, and commercials or the media news organizations, to (a) promote vaccines, and (b) negatively question and attack those opposed to mandatory vaccines or who call into question the efficiency and safety of any and/or all vaccines.¹⁹

A CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY IS BEING COMMITTED:

A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE – AN INHUMANE CRIME BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT

THE JUSTIFICATION FOR CIVIL AND CRIMINAL PROSECUTION AGAINST THE CRIMINAL PARTICIPANTS

Sufficient information from reliable personal, experienced, professional, scientific and scholarly sources exists that proves that vaccines²⁰ in some or in many cases can be detrimental in a smaller, larger or deadlier degree to the physical, biological and mental state of their recipients.

I, being a layman and non-expert in the fields of biology, immunology, immunization, inoculation, vaccination, corporate greed, corruption, abuse of governmental authority or violation of oath of office, cannot produce my own empirical evidence to prove why vaccines can be unsafe and why individuals and parents should have a right, defined in whichever manner, to decide when and if vaccination is a better choice for such individuals or their family members and whether to vaccinate or not. I can only come to my own conclusion using true mental openness to consider all the available and relevant information at my disposal using real, objective, unobstructed, unbiased and non-partisan critical thinking²¹ to come to a conclusion as to whether vaccines, in any form, degree or recurrence should be

¹⁷ See Seqirus influenza vaccine insert, page 1, Warnings and Precautions, section 5.3, “*appropriate medical treatment and supervision must be available to manage possible anaphylactic reactions following administration of the vaccine,*” at

<https://www.fda.gov/downloads/BiologicsBloodVaccines/Vaccines/ApprovedProducts/UCM263239.pdf>.

¹⁸ See Blue Shield 2016 Performance Recognition Program, page 15, at

http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Pediatrician_Bonus.pdf.

¹⁹ See Robert De Niro’s interview on NBC’s “Today Show” regarding vaccines and the movie Vaxxed, circa April 13, 2016, at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FJ7iPn39i08>; see Washington Post article, “*Jim Carrey Denounces new Calif. vaccine law in Twitter rant*”, July 1, 2015, at https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/morning-mix/wp/2015/07/01/jim-carrey-denounces-new-calif-vaccine-law-in-twitter-rant/?utm_term=.eb8d9c8e5a83.

Compare Rolling Stone magazine article by Robert F. Kennedy Jr., “*Deadly Immunity*”, February 9, 2011, at <http://www.rollingstone.com/politics/news/deadly-immunity-20110209> with Scientific American website article, “*Robert F. Kennedy, Jr., Distorted Vaccine Science*”, January 11, 2017, at <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/how-robert-f-kennedy-jr-distorted-vaccine-science1/>.

²⁰ Vaccines in any way, shape or form, in particular those promoted by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control (CDC).

²¹ There are only two basic rules to true Critical Thinking. The first rule of critical thinking is “Question Authority”. The second first rule of critical thinking is “Question Yourself, as you are your biggest authority”, as discovered and expressed by Constitutional Law Professor Walter P. Mann III, circa 2001.

allowed to be injected into me and my family members. And I have concluded that vaccines have an actual risk of injury that is not worth taking, as they can be detrimental to our overall health as described herein.

Therefore, I defer to the experts in the field of vaccination theory and reality, in particular those without financial or other interests in the promotion of vaccines and mandatory vaccination, to show proof of such dangers of vaccines by citing such sources in the text and footnotes of this complaint as my proof that (a) vaccines are not safe, (b) vaccination should not be mandatory and/or compulsory, and that (c) coordinated and speedy legal action should and must be taken against all involved Criminal Participants. I adopt herein all footnotes, exhibits, noted internet articles and videos by reference and incorporation.

I limit myself through this formal complaint to point to the quoted sources for scientific purposes and to my observations as relates to obvious conclusions that anyone can reach by simply defining this issue in the least complex way possible, to wit:

PROOF OF VACCINE DANGERS

When it comes to whether vaccines can have a harmful effect on any person of any age, there are only three things that need to be taken in consideration:

1. What is the actual and scientific data allegedly proving that vaccines are not harmful to its recipients in any way, shape or form.
2. What is the actual and scientific data allegedly proving that some or all vaccines, based on each of them individually, in combination with other vaccines, and/or in frequency, can be harmful or deadly to certain individuals.²²
3. As to claimed vaccine related injuries, what are certain parents of physically and/or mentally ill children reporting as regards to the circumstances of each individual incident and the proximity of such onset episodes with such person's vaccination, where no medical history exists to justify the onset of such disease and injury.

The difference between number 1 and 2 above compared with number 3 can be clearly defined: The first two are based on studies written by certain scholars and scientists based on statistical information that can be manipulated or challenged in multiple ways, while number 3 is based on the observations of individuals, such as parents, that have seen a child from birth develop in a normal way and then suffering a minimal or serious disability soon after vaccination, sometimes within minutes. In thousands of cases parents have concluded that the injury to their children is directly related to vaccination and can find no other logical explanation for it.²³

²² Hereinafter "Individuals" referring to any recipients of such vaccination of any age, from newborn to senior.

²³ The producers of the movie "Vaxxed: From Cover-up to Catastrophe," have been collecting vaccine injury stories to present to President Trump as proof of such vaccine related injuries. As I write this the number of stories submitted are over 6,600. See <http://www.vaxxed.com/home/#>. Also, the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program has paid over \$3.0 billion since the first claims were filed in 1989 to compensate persons injured by

To add to such parents' observations, in many such infant/child injury cases, parents visit their pediatrician to have their children go through a routine scheduled medical physical examination and after the physician conclusively states that the child is fine, then the pediatrician recommends vaccination to conclude the visit, after which vaccination such detrimental reactions happen, within minutes, hours or days.

In other words, in the above cases the doctors are saying, "your child is fine, no sort of disease is detected in him/her nor are any diseases and symptoms expected at this time based on my professional medical opinion," only for the parents to see soon after vaccination such reactions and physical and/or mental disabilities occur, from minor tics to very high temperature to seizures, encephalitis and autism, even death, like SIDS,²⁴ all such possible reactions well documented in the vaccine manufacturers' own vaccine inserts.²⁵

To determine if vaccines are harmful to some individuals or to the U.S. population to a lesser or higher degree, one need only apply the law of Parsimony. The Parsimony principle is basic to all science and tells us to choose the simplest scientific explanation that fits the evidence. In the case of vaccination, the following basic facts apply:

- (1) A CHILD OR PERSON HAS PERFECT HEALTH
- (2) IMMEDIATE AND SERIOUS INJURY HAPPENS AFTER VACCINATION
- (3) NO EXPLANATION FOR INJURY OTHER THAN VACCINATION IS LOGICAL OR PLAUSIBLE²⁶

While a physician's conclusion of a child's life and circumstances and his/her physical and mental state may be based on a few doctor's visits, some tests and some comments from the parents, parents' knowledge and observations are based on life long, minute, hourly and daily observation and evaluation of their child to be able to determine conclusively whether a vaccine just administered could be the culprit for a completely unexpected turn of events negatively affecting their child; as obvious as when a child trips and falls, and the parent see them tripping, as well as the obstacle in the floor, that conclusively and by logic made him trip based on his trajectory.

The logical conclusion that one can reach in the above instance is that, if one body of literature says vaccines are safe and the other says that vaccines are not always safe and could even be deadly, and the actual physical (not hypothetical and/or statistical) evidence shows that indeed such physical and/or mental disabilities are happening soon after vaccinations – this proves that the body of evidence against vaccines, no matter how small, is much more likely (if not definitely) to be correct in linking the effects

vaccines. See

http://www.naturalnews.com/053443_vaccine_injuries_financial_awards_government_payouts.html.

²⁴ SIDS, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome which the medical establishment claims is a complete mystery as to why it occurs, but which they claim is "definitely" not associated to vaccination; but see DTaP vaccine "Tripedia" insert, noting possible Autism and SIDS resulting from the vaccine, see page 11, Adverse Reactions section, at http://vaccines.procon.org/sourcefiles/DTaP_Tripedia.pdf.

²⁵ See "Analysis of Scheduled Vaccines' Box Information Insert", by Ricardo Beas, July 10, 2016, which shows all possible and recurring injuries from vaccines at http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Vaccines_-_Insert_Analysis.pdf.

²⁶ When an obvious vaccine-related injury or death happens, the involved doctor and orthodox medical science is never able to explain to parents why such injury/death happened, other than to simply deny that it was caused by the vaccine, even though there is no other explanation that is plausible.

of vaccination to such serious injuries as described above. Add the injuries mentioned in vaccine inserts and the conclusion of a relationship between vaccines and common serious injury like autism is nothing less than inevitable.

DEFINING RELIGIOUS RIGHTS

Our country, what we can call herein the United States of America or USA, was born/created on paper almost 250 years ago. Our country is internationally and legally simply a corporate entity, our articles of incorporation being the “Constitution for the United States of America”, our territorial country’s legal Charter, hereinafter the US Constitution.

The assumption is that we, the residents of the land mass known as the United States of America, have allowed this fictitious entity known as the USA and all its officers and employees to represent us and represent our interests. Misleadingly people believe that our rights as human being residents of this territorial USA come from the US Constitution, but they do not - the law is today because of what it was yesterday.

The USA Constitutional government must recognize all previous agreements and legal/lawful precepts preceding our constitution, as noted in Article 6 of the US Constitution, which states:

“All Debts contracted and Engagements entered into, before the Adoption of this Constitution, shall be as valid against the United States under this Constitution, as under the Confederation.”

The USA’s legal history and authority is based on legal precedent which comes from the English Common Law, as may be confirmed or implied by the United States Articles of Confederation and the Declaration of Independence. The Common Law itself comes from well-established human Natural Rights.

The Declaration of Independence, being a legally precedent binding document states the following facts and acknowledges our presently existing legal rights:

“We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain UNALIENABLE RIGHTS, that among these are LIFE, liberty and the pursuit of Happiness. That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed.”

Based on the above, our natural rights come from God, and not from human governmental or other institutions who could, according to the government, at will approve some and deny other of our true rights. Consequently, the U.S. Constitution, does not grant us any natural rights, but simply acknowledges some of them, leaving those rights not mentioned outside of the US government’s control or regulation, as noted in the Ninth Amendment to the US Constitution.

One of these unalienable rights include our religious rights, express as the first and most important right in the US Constitution's Bill of Rights, which states:

"Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof."²⁷

When it comes to vaccines and vaccination, a person's right not to vaccinate personally or to allow any of that persons' children to be vaccinated is protected by this religious right as expressed in the First Amendment.

Some individuals, such as Criminal Participant conspirator Dorit Rubinstein Reiss, will argue that when a person claims a right to avoid vaccination claiming constitutionally protected religious rights that such proclamations are being abused by such parents and are lies, thus deceitful, and that in fact such religious exemptions should not exist.²⁸ Yet Reiss is wrong. So long as a person believes in God, it is easy to see, and therefore to prove why such person would not use vaccination from a religious standpoint if a belief or doubt existed in such person's minds that vaccines could be dangerous, as conclusively summarized here:

1. A person believes in God
2. God Creates Life, and therefore that person believes God created him or her and all other humans.
3. Humans are ordered to prosper and multiply (Genesis 9:7 – *"And you, be ye fruitful, and multiply; bring forth abundantly in the earth, and multiply therein."*)
4. God orders humans to protect their body, God's temple.²⁹
5. God orders parents to protect their children and to care for them, as they are a heritage from God.³⁰
6. If such person believes that vaccines are harmful, such person has a God-commanded responsibility to protect himself and his children, by not allowing any of them to be vaccinated to avoid possible adverse effects to their physical and/or mental state.
7. Therefore, if a person believes a vaccine can cause injury, such person has a God ordained responsibility to avoid vaccination. That is to say -- His/her religious beliefs are sincerely, logically and without question against vaccination as a matter of religious principle and the preservation of life.

²⁷ See also 42 U.S. Code § 1983 - Civil action for deprivation of rights; 42 U.S. Code § 2000bb - Congressional findings and declaration of purposes; 42 U.S. Code § 2000bb-1 - Free exercise of religion protected; see also Title 18 USC Section 241 - Conspiracy Against Rights.

²⁸ See *"Religious Exemptions and Vaccination – Abuse and Reform,"* by Dorit Rubinstein Reiss, Feb 16, 2014, at <http://www.skepticalraptor.com/skepticalraptorblog.php/religious-exemptions-vaccination-abuse-reform/>.

²⁹ See Natural Law Church, Biblical Authority, at http://www.cafepeyote.com/biblical_authority.

³⁰ See Natural Law Church, supra.

**MANDATORY VACCINES FOR SCHOOL CHILDREN IN CALIFORNIA – AB 2109 and SB 277
DESCRIMINATION AGAINST A GROUP IDENTIFIED AS ANTI-VAXXERS
EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAW DENIED**

Since 1961 as a result of California Assembly Bill 1940, by DeLotto and Rumford, elementary and secondary schools in California have required its students to be vaccinated with certain types of vaccines as recommended by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control (CDC) in order to attend school.³¹ Even though vaccines were required by this bill, there was an exemption for refusing to vaccinate based on personal beliefs.

While the original intent was to note a religious exemption by wording the text of the regulation as requiring a “*letter stating that such immunization is contrary to his or her **religious beliefs**,*” the final text noted that “*Immunization shall not be required for admission to a public or private elementary or secondary school if the parent or guardian ... files ... a letter stating that such immunization is **contrary to his or her beliefs.***”³²

Thus, while such AB 1940 legislation provided a “personal” exemption, it did not mention or address a “religious” exemption, and I contend that it is not required in the text of the regulation because it is already clearly reflected, stated and protected in both the U.S. and the California constitutions.³³

In 2012 then Assembly Member Richard Pan promoted and helped pass Assembly Bill 2109, which required parents of unvaccinated children to speak with a physician before their children would be allowed to attend elementary and secondary school. According to Pan prior to the passing of AB 2109 he said in a nutshell that he did not have a problem with parents not vaccinating their children as long as they had made an informed choice based on them discussing vaccination and immunization with their pediatricians.³⁴

Because vaccines were already mandatory absent the written refusal to vaccinate, the only purpose of AB 2109 was to target unvaccinated children and their parents³⁵ to force them to see a physician in

³¹ Such vaccine schedule went from 7 vaccines by age six in 1950 to 36 by 2016. Presently a child is expected to have more than 70 vaccines by the time they leave high school. See CDC vaccines schedule for primary and secondary school attendance.

³² See 1961 California Health and Safety Code, Chapter 7, section 3384, at

<http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/1940 - Part 1.pdf> and <http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/1940 - Part 2.pdf>.

³³ See US Constitution, First Amendment; see also California Constitution, Article 1, Section 4. See also, Article 1, Section 1, “*All people are **by nature free and independent** and have inalienable rights. Among these are enjoying and **defending life** and liberty, acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and pursuing and **obtaining safety, happiness, and privacy.***” I contend that forced vaccination in order to attend school, obtain or maintain employment, in order to travel, or for whatever reason, is contrary to Section 1 above and my ability to be free, independent and safe as regard my health and overall wellbeing, and therefore is contrary to such constitutionally protected rights, which the state of California is bound to protect.

³⁴ See YouTube video where Pan notes he did not want to stop parents from not vaccinating their children based on their personal and/or religious beliefs, but simply to help them be informed, at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6gilUVOOr8co&t=46s>.

³⁵ Herein “anti-vaxxer,” “unvaccinated,” “un-vaxxed,” “anti-vaccination” or the like refers to children, parents, guardians or other persons that either are against the administration of some or all vaccines, are partially against

order to use the supposed “personal exemption.” This action created a “Class of Person” that we can call the “Unvaccinated” and the intent of such AB 2109 was to affect specifically this class of unvaccinated persons.

AB 2109 modified section 120365 of the California Health and Safety Code not only to state that parents of unvaccinated children would speak to a physician about vaccine safety, but it also committed the physicians/pediatricians to provide such parents with “information regarding the **benefits and risks** of the immunization.” Yet my experience with two pediatricians and hospital staff (as discussed below), as well as the experience of most anti-vaccination parents was that physicians were only discussing the alleged positive benefits of vaccines and did not discuss all the known possible negative health effects as noted at a minimum in those vaccines’ inserts and studies.³⁶ Such negligent or purposeful refusal to provide all information regarding such inserts and possible vaccine injuries is in direct violation of my/our legal right to Informed Consent, and failure to provide Informed Consent regarding vaccines and their dangers results in medical malpractice.³⁷

To everyone’s surprise, in particular Senator Pan, the CDC and the vaccine industry, Gov. Gerry Brown Jr. did sign AB 2109 as acceptable legislation,³⁸ but in his signing statement ordered the California Dept. of Education and Dept. of Health to create a form that parents of unvaccinated children could use to avoid seeing a physician altogether by simply noting that vaccination was against their religious beliefs.³⁹

As a result of this, now Senator Pan and other Criminal Participants again conspired to change the law and attacked specifically the parents of unvaccinated children by promoting and achieving the passing of

vaccination, are against the amount of vaccines being presently required, prefer a different schedule for vaccination and/or wants to avoid certain specific vaccines due to medical, personal and/or religious reasons. When referring to “me” or “my” and the like it includes all other complainants that join this class action criminal complaint and those that may not be aware of it that might join in the future, as well as those that are against mandatory vaccination government policies, rules and regulations.

³⁶ See emails of August 2016 from one of my child’s Sharp Rees-Stealy Pediatrician, Dr. Layla Dipp stating, “We would follow the CDC and American Academy of Pediatrics recommendations. There is a catch up immunization schedule that we would follow, depending on which vaccines she needs and there is always some interval times between vaccines that we also follow, that makes it safe to apply... Mrs. Beas, thank you for the information you provided. My professional opinion is in accordance with the CDC’s evidence based medical information. Our pediatric medical group is in unanimous agreement that children should be vaccinated. I disagree with the article indicating that vaccinated children cause outbreaks. I appreciate your concern and point of view, however, my recommendation is to vaccinate Annette,” where in further correspondence the doctor and Hospital refused to have the doctor fill and sign a Warranty of Vaccine Safety I provided that would confirm the Doctor’s complete knowledge of possible vaccine safety, pros and cons, and would reestablish her liability for possible injury. See http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Warranty_of_Vaccine_Safety.pdf. Doctor and hospital emails available upon request.

³⁷ See “Informed Consent in California,” at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1237745/pdf/westjmed00264-0092.pdf>. See *Schloendorff v. Society of New York Hospital*, 211 N.Y. 125, 105 N.E. 92 [1914]; *Cobbs vs Grant* (8 Cal. 3d 229; 104 Cal. Rptr. 505, 502 P. 2d 1 [1972]). See California Hospital Association’s “Consent Manual 2015,” page 1.1, addressing medical malpractice, at http://www.calhospital.org/sites/main/files/file-attachments/consent2015_webpreview.pdf.

³⁸ Legislation, not law: only a court can declare what the law is in any particular case and litigation, cases having distinguishable circumstances, making court precedent only useful as reference but not as irrefutable law in all cases.

³⁹ See Gov. Brown’s signing statement of September 30, 2012, at http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Brown_Vacc_Signing_Orders.pdf.

SB 277, which completely removed the personal exemption to mandatory vaccination, noting that a “religious exemption” was again not mentioned in the text of the bill, just like in AB 2109 and AB 1040. To make matters worse, according to Pan and Senator Allen, SB 277 would give doctors full and unchallenged discretion to issue medical exemptions,⁴⁰ but as will be noted below, doctors who issue vaccine medical exemptions are being scrutinized, challenged and attacked, their medical license threatened for issuing such medical exemptions.⁴¹ Now, to get a medical exemption to vaccination, a parent needs to show that their child has been previously injured by a vaccine in order to avoid future ones. In other words, you have to be injured to avoid further injury; that is, if they will give a medical exemption at all. Also, Pan et al are introducing multiple Assembly and Senate bills to expand the vaccine program, while penalizing those that do not fall in step.⁴²

Now the State of California, including the Department of Education and the Department of Health are stating that SB 277 removed both the “personal exemption” and the “religious exemption,” when the religious exemption is not addressed in SB 277, and even though in his signing statement for SB 277 Governor Brown did not remove or revoke the religious exemption he acknowledged, approved and ordered of the above agencies in his signing statement related to AB 2109.⁴³ This was confirmed by the Office of the Governor, Legal Affairs Department, in their letter to me of August 5, 2015, regarding my Public Records Act request, where I requested the following:

“1. Provide a copy of any and all documents (orders, directives, emails, etc. ad infinitum) written or issued by Gov. Brown to any department, agency or the like which indicate that Gov. Brown’s 2012 signing statement as to the use of the Religious Exemption and form CDPH 8262 for that purpose is no longer available to parents of unvaccinated children, that it is null and void, rescinded and/or in any way no longer in force.

2. If no such order or instruction as noted in number 1 above exists, please advise of the following:

a. Confirm in writing that no such order or directive exists.”

⁴⁰ See YouTube video of Senator Pan to that effect, at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pemEvM3uO6c>.

⁴¹ See story on Dr. Bob Sears being accused by the CA Medical Board for “gross negligence,” and actions against Dr. Daniel Kalb, at <http://www.jeffereyjaxen.com/blog/dr-sears-asking-for-momentary-stand-down-while-dr-kalb-needs-us-to-stand-up>. AB 2638 intended to protect doctors who issue medical exemptions, but it was defeated.

⁴² See AB 499, “Minor Consent for Medical Care,” which allows a child to be vaccinated without parental notification and/or consent. See AB 443, “Optometry, Scope of Practice,” that would allow optometrists to administer vaccines; see SB 457, “Out-of-Hospital Childbirths,” placing limitations on the midwife profession and mandating childbirths only in hospitals, where vaccines are highly encouraged and often given without parental consent; see SB 426, “Community-based Home Visitation pilot program,” where parents could be accused of neglect of their children for not vaccinating them; see also AB 992, “Cal-Works: Baby Wellness and Family Support Home Visitation Program,” where parents could lose cash assistance for not vaccinating their children. See also former President Obama’s “21 Century Cures Act” which allows drug trials without consent and moves to fast track vaccine manufacturing. On the federal side, see H.R. 1215, “Protecting Access to Care Act of 2017,” giving vaccine manufacturer’s immunity from liability for all vaccines; see H.R. 1313, “Preserving Employee Wellness Programs Act,” which would allow companies to require employees to undergo genetic testing and enroll in a mandatory vaccine program or risk losing their job.

⁴³ See Governor Brown’s signing statements of Sept. 30, 2012 and June 30, 2015, at http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Brown_Vacc_Signing_Orders.pdf.

The response I received states:

“We have located no records that are responsive to your request. Sincerely, Legal Affairs.”⁴⁴

If the religious exemption in Gov. Brown’s 2012 signing statement was no longer valid, Legal Affairs could have easily and clearly pointed to Gov. Brown’s signing statement of 2015, which the Dept. of Education, the Dept. of Health and elementary and secondary schools are claiming nullifies Brown’s prior religious exemption and their obligation to continue using a form similar to CDPH 8262 which included the religious exemption for parents to use in order to attend school.⁴⁵

Therefore, persons against forced vaccination have been classified into a separate group for purposes of AB 2109 and SB 277, a certain “Class of Persons,” which is being attack and discriminated against by SB 277 and all involved Criminal Participants, violating my/our rights to equal protection of the law as contemplated by the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. See also 42 USC 1981(a), Statement of Equal Rights, 42 USC 1981(c), Protection Against Impairment; 42 USC 1983, Civil Action for Deprivation of Rights; 42 USC 2000bb-1, Free Exercise of Religion Protected. See also 18 USC 241, Conspiracy Against Rights; 18 USC 242, Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law.

Further, California unvaccinated children are being threatened with removal from school if they don’t vaccinate, in violation of California Constitution Article 9 sections (1) and (5), the right to public education. These and other related actions are also a violation of our medical privacy rights.⁴⁶

The State of California Dept. of Education, Dept. of Health and elementary and secondary school districts are acting in a discriminatory manner as has been shows above,⁴⁷ their actions have been intentional and purposeful to negatively affect and impact unvaccinated persons,⁴⁸ which have now been turned into a “suspect class” for Fourteenth Amendment equal protection purposes. Such actions are in violation of unvaccinated persons’ U.S. and California constitutional rights.

⁴⁴ See Exhibit 2, letter from the office of Governor Brown responding to my PRA request related to Brown’s religious exemption of 2012, at http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Criminal_Complaint_-_Vaccines_-_Exhibits.pdf.

⁴⁵ See Exhibit 3, letter of July 22, 2016 from Dean T. Adams, legal counsel for the California Chula Vista Elementary School District, regarding the use of the religious exemption and form CDPH 8262 in order to attend school, at http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Criminal_Complaint_-_Vaccines_-_Exhibits.pdf.

⁴⁶ See the article “The National Electronic Vaccine Tracking Registry,” by the National Vaccine Information Center, at <http://www.nvic.org/vaccine-laws/tracking-system-and-privacy/the-national-electronic-tracking-registry.aspx>.

⁴⁷ The Dept. of Health is even conducting on-site visits to schools were agents are evaluating immunization records and compliance with SB 277. See <https://physiciansforinformedconsent.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/Glaser-Letter-053117-to-CDPH-IB-re-Selective-Review-Letter.pdf>.

⁴⁸ See *Reese v. Jefferson School Dist. No. 14J*, 208 F.3d 736, 740 (9th Cir. 2000). See also *Squaw Valley Dev. Co. v. Goldberg*, 375 F.3d 936, 944 (9th Cir. 2004) (quoting *Vill. Of Willowbrook v. Olech*, 528 U.S. 562, 564 (2000) (per curiam)), overruled on other grounds by *Lingle v. Chevron U.S.A. Inc.*, 544 U.S. 528 (2005).

APPLICATION OF US SUPREME COURT CASES TO SB 277

There have been several challenges to SB 277, three in federal court and one in California State Court, as follows:

1. *Ana Whitlow, et al vs. State of California, et al*; U.S. District Court, Southern District of California, Case No. 3:16-cv-01715-DMS-BGS.
2. *Travis Middleton, et al vs. Richard Pan, et al*; U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case No. LA-CV16-05224-SVW-AGR.
3. *Torrey-Love, et al vs. State of California Department of Education, et al*; U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case No. ED CV 16-2410-DMG(DTBx); now in California state court: Superior Court, County of Placer, Case No. SCV 0039311.
4. *Tamara Buck, et al vs. The State of California, et al*; Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles, Central District, Case No. BC617766.

In the above cases where the Plaintiffs have claimed that SB 277 violates their personal and/or religious beliefs and their constitutional rights not to vaccinate their children, fully or partially, the Courts have focused and used *Jacobson v. Commonwealth of Massachusetts*, 197 U.S. 11, 27–29 (1905), to declare that such case eliminates such right to not vaccinate; but that is incorrect, wrongly applied and contrary to such Plaintiffs and my God-given, natural, common law, US and California Constitutional, and my International rights.⁴⁹

Jacobson states that a “community has the right to protect itself against an epidemic of disease which threatens the safety of its members . . . and not permit the interests of the many to be subordinated to the wishes or convenience of the few.” While this may be true, there is no present epidemic that SB 277 is addressing, as none of the vaccines in the CDC vaccine schedule are presently of any public or community outbreaks of epidemic proportions. Further, some of the vaccines are not associated with airborne communicable diseases. In fact, the Hepatitis B vaccine is recommended for children at birth, even though such disease is associated with sexual intercourse and drug use, as well as direct contact with blood or other contaminated bodily fluids.⁵⁰

Jacobson is not binding on the above SB 277 courts and where such courts have made a decision and issued an order stating that *Jacobson* is binding, such judges are violating their Oath of Office to protect and defend my and other unvaccinated parents’ constitutional rights without justified findings of fact

⁴⁹ See the “Nuremberg Code and Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights UNESCO” which prohibits forced medication, including vaccination. Article 6, section 1 states: “Any preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical intervention is only to be carried out with the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information. The consent should, where appropriate, be express and may be withdrawn by the person concerned at any time and for any reason without disadvantage or prejudice.”

⁵⁰ See CDC Hepatitis B FAQs for the Public, “How is Hepatitis spread,” at <https://www.cdc.gov/hepatitis/hbv/bfaq.htm#bFAQ03>.

and conclusions of law, all under duress and coercion and threat of loss of our California constitutional right to public education for our children.

The *Jacobson* case is distinguishable from the above cases and not binding on any present day court for the following reasons:

1. There are no present epidemics that threaten our country or communities.
2. At the time of *Jacobson*, the court addressed the use of one particular vaccine, while SB 277 mandates of total of more than 70 vaccines from childhood through high school.⁵¹
3. In *Jacobson* it involved only one vaccine for smallpox, while the new schedule and the procedures followed by medical institutions is to give multiple vaccines at the same time, which vaccines have never been tested for synergistic effects when these are combined.⁵²
4. The penalty for not vaccinating in *Jacobson* was \$5 dollars, while in the case of SB 277 it includes,
 - (a) The loss of public and private elementary and secondary education.
 - (b) The need for parents to homeschool their children.
 - (c) Affecting non-vaccinated parents financially in order to homeschool, in some cases having to leave their employment in order to do so.
 - (d) Forcing parents to move to another state where the personal and/or religious exemptions are accepted.
5. Vaccines presently contain among other things neurotoxic substances such as mercury, aluminum, formaldehyde, glyphosate, GMOs, peanut oil, other viruses, bovine extract, polysorbate 80, monkey kidney tissue, fetal tissue, Thimerosal, and other ingredients that can and have been proven to be detrimental to a person's health; and from a religious standpoint, some if not all of these vaccines contain human fetal tissue and future vaccines will continue to promote its use.⁵³

⁵¹ And the vaccine manufacturers and industry are working on hundreds more, many of which will probably be included in mandatory schedules for adults and children alike. See <https://www.docdroid.net/EML6lcV/development-drug-list-vaccines4.pdf.html#page=20>.

⁵² See "Combining Multiple Childhood Vaccines Not Safe, According to Article in the Journal of American Physicians and Surgeons," by Nasdaq GlobeNewswire, at <https://globenewswire.com/news-release/2016/06/14/848493/0/en/Combining-Multiple-Childhood-Vaccines-Not-Safe-According-to-Article-in-the-Journal-of-American-Physicians-and-Surgeons.html>.

⁵³ See "Characteristics and viral propagation properties of a new human diploid cell line," PubMed, at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/m/pubmed/25803132/>.

6. There is now proof that unvaccinated children are healthier than vaccinated children.⁵⁴

The other case law noted in the above cases, such as *Zucht v. King*, 260 U.S. 174, 176 (1922); *Boone v. Boozman*, 217 F. Supp. 2d 938, 956 (E.D. Ark. 2002); *Pickup v. Brown*, 740 F.3d 1208, 1235 (9th Cir. 2014); and *Prince v. Massachusetts*, 321 U.S. 158 (1944), are also basing their decisions on *Jacobson*; and thus similarly as in the case of *Jacobson*, the four lawsuits against SB 277 are distinguishable from *Jacobson*, and the more recent cases such as *Bone* and *Pickup* do not consider the following findings of fact to reach the proper conclusion of law.

In *Prince v. Massachusetts*, 321 U.S. 158 (1944), the Court stated: “*The right to practice religion freely does not include liberty to expose the community or the child to communicable disease or the latter to ill health or death.*” Id. at 166-67. While this conclusion of law can be considered to be correct, it is only based on the presumptions that (1) vaccines work and are effective in preventing the diseases they are intended to prevent, (2) that vaccines don’t expose the community or an individual to a communicable disease, (3) that vaccines cannot and do not pose any risk of ill health or death to their recipients,⁵⁵ (4) that unvaccinated children are the ones causing the outbreaks, (5) that only by vaccinating the unvaccinated can you protect vaccinated children, (6) that an unvaccinated child has a higher risk of infection and serious injury than a vaccinated child against any particular disease the vaccine is supposed to prevent, and (7) that none of the vaccine ingredients are neurotoxins.⁵⁶

The reality, as proven by all the evidence provided and referenced herein, is the opposite, to wit:

(1) Vaccines have been proven to be ineffective in stopping a vaccinated person from getting the related disease in some or many cases.⁵⁷

(2) Some vaccines are made with live viruses and the recently vaccinated person can shed the virus and pass the virus to other vaccinated and unvaccinated children, causing the spread of such disease in the community.⁵⁸

⁵⁴ See “*Study: Vaccinated Children Have 2 to 5 Times More Diseases and Disorders Than Unvaccinated Children,*” at <http://healthimpactnews.com/2011/new-study-vaccinated-children-have-2-to-5-times-more-diseases-and-disorders-than-unvaccinated-children/>.

⁵⁵ But see *Bruesewitz v. Wyeth LLC*, 131 S. Ct. 1068, 179 L. Ed. 2d 1 (2011), declaring that vaccines are unavoidably unsafe. It also states, “*Indeed, the FDA has never even spelled out in regulations the criteria it uses to decide whether a vaccine is safe and effective for its intended use.*” Id. at 13. It further states, “*And the Act provides many means of **improving vaccine design**. It directs the Secretary of Health and Human Services to promote ‘**the development of childhood vaccines that result in fewer and less serious adverse reactions**’.*” Id. at 14. Further, in footnote 17, it reads as follows: “*See, e.g., Kearl*, 172 Cal. App. 3d, at 831, n. 15, 218 Cal. Rptr., at 465, n. 15 (“[T]he unavoidably dangerous product doctrine merely exempts the product from a strict liability design defect analysis; a plaintiff remains free to pursue his design defect theory on the basis of negligence”); *Toner*, 112 Idaho, at 340, 732 P. 2d, at 309-310 (“The authorities universally agree that where a product is deemed unavoidably unsafe, the plaintiff is deprived of the advantage of a strict liability cause of action, but may proceed under a negligence cause of action”).

⁵⁶ See extensive list of vaccine ingredients at <https://www.facebook.com/notes/vaxxed-a-revolution-for-choice/research-all-vaccine-ingredients/160099041064357/>.

⁵⁷ See footnote 11.

⁵⁸ See *The Emerging Risks of Live Virus & Virus Vected Vaccines: Vaccine Strain Virus Infection, Shedding & Transmission*, at <http://www.nvic.org/cmstemplates/nvic/pdf/live-virus-vaccines-and-vaccine-shedding.pdf>.

- (3) Vaccines can cause serious injury as noted in the vaccines' own information insert and all the documentation submitted herein.
- (4) In many cases it is the vaccinated persons who are causing the outbreaks and are infecting others, and the majority of the infected have previously been vaccinated and are up-to-date on their vaccination.⁵⁹
- (5) Vaccinating a child does not help another vaccinated person in any way to avoid getting infected as noted in number 4 above; there is no such thing as vaccine herd immunity as a way to protect a community from a viral disease; on the contrary, the more vaccinated persons you have, the bigger the chances some diseases will continue to affect communities as proven herein.⁶⁰
- (6) If both a vaccinated child and an unvaccinated child catch a preventable disease in their school, the unvaccinated person has a greater chance of having a lesser reaction and impact on his/her health, and a greater chance of getting better sooner than a vaccinated person; or at a minimum the unvaccinated person has the same probability of seriousness of the disease's impact on his/her health as the vaccinated child.
- (7) Many of the ingredients in the vaccines are toxic, neurotoxic; and when combined with other vaccines and in increased amounts, are synergistically more harmful to its recipient.
- (8) The CDC's vaccine schedule includes vaccines for diseases that are not airborne communicable diseases, like HPV, Hepatitis B, Tetanus and Diphtheria vaccines, making it unnecessary to make these vaccines mandatory upon the unvaccinated in order to attend school.
- (9) A whistleblower from the CDC, Dr. William Thompson, has confessed that he and others at the CDC and other related participants in vaccine studies manipulated, hid, eliminated and destroyed information and documents that prove that the MMR vaccine causes autism.⁶¹ Dr. Thompson has confessed to a conspiracy between the CDC, the vaccine manufacturers and other interested parties to hide the dangers of certain if not all vaccines, leaving the statements from courts such as that of *Jacobson*, which stated that the court can depend on "facts of common knowledge" that vaccines are safe inapplicable because of the implications of Dr. Thompson's declarations and confessions to fraud.
- (10) Such type of CDC fraud was made evident in the 1976 fictitious Swine Flu (H1N1) epidemic which resulted in the vaccination of millions and the disability of thousands, as well as about 300 fatalities. This CDC/government action and vaccine public promotion was done even though there was not even one confirmed case globally.⁶² If we add to this the Avian, H1N1, Ebola and

⁵⁹ See footnote 11, which proves that vaccinated persons are more susceptible to infection to vaccine-related diseases.

⁶⁰ See "Herd Immunity Theory Has Been Repeatedly Disproven," at <http://www.thevaccinereaction.org/2017/05/herd-immunity-theory-has-been-repeatedly-disproven/>.

⁶¹ See the movie "Vaxxed: From Cover-Up to Catastrophe," at <http://vaxxedthemovie.com/stream/>.

⁶² See CBS' "60 Minutes" documentary exposing such fraud and injury upon the American people, at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8e1E7Ct1jWw>. See also recent H1N1 CBS article where the CDC ordered no

now the Zika virus CDC scaremongering and even worse the Tuskegee syphilis study conspiracy and criminal activity,⁶³ one can only conclude that when it comes to the CDC's credibility, ***Falsus in uno, falsus in omnibus*** (false in one thing, false in everything), and thus cannot be trusted in any matters pertaining to vaccine safety.

These "facts of common knowledge" have to always be regarded in passing upon the constitutionality of a statute, as noted in *Jacobson*.

In this case, Mr. Henning Jacobson, did not provide any expert witnesses and the court stated that even if he had, that "facts of common knowledge" show that vaccines are safe. Justice Harland wrote:

*"Assuming that medical experts could have been found who would have testified in support of these propositions, and that it had become the duty of the judge, in accordance with the law as stated in Com. v. Anthes, 5 Gray, 185, to instruct the jury as to whether or not the statute is constitutional, he would have been obliged to consider the evidence in connection with facts of common knowledge, which the court will always regard in passing upon the constitutionality of a statute."*⁶⁴

Then the *Jacobson* Court proceeded to admit that vaccines can have harmful effects, writing:

*"He would have considered this testimony of experts in connection with the facts that for nearly a century most of the members of the medical profession have regarded vaccination, repeated after intervals, as a preventive of smallpox; that, **while they have recognized the possibility of injury to an individual from carelessness in the performance of it, or even in a conceivable case without carelessness, they generally have considered the risk of such an injury too small to be seriously weighed as against the benefits coming from the discreet and proper use of the preventive.**"*

The key words here are "generally" and "injury too small to be seriously weighed," as Dr. Thompson, when giving his confession to Dr. Brian Hooker, who has a son with a serious case of autism, told Hooker that he Thompson and the CDC through malfeasance and fraud were responsible for Hooker's son's injury due to their collusion in hiding the truth, that among many other serious injuries, some or all vaccines can cause autism.

With Dr. Thompson's testimony, all the medical evidence of vaccine injury,⁶⁵ all the vaccine injured children parents' stories and all other information provided and referenced herein, the evidence conclusively shows that (a) today's vaccines are not generally safe, and that (b) such vaccine injuries as seen today are not too small to be seriously considered; but to the contrary, they seem to be

more testing for H1N1 to increase numbers quoted, at <http://www.cbsnews.com/news/swine-flu-cases-overestimated/>.

⁶³ See CDC Tuskegee Study where syphilis infected persons were allowed to suffer and die under the promise of free healthcare, even though a cure already existed for syphilis. See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tuskegee_syphilis_experiment.

⁶⁴ See *Jacobson vs. Commonwealth of Massachusetts*, supra.

⁶⁵ See recent Yale study showing vaccines linked to neurological disorders, at <http://www.vaccinesrevealed.com/vaccines/yale-study/>; see "CDC Knew Its Vaccine Program Was Exposing Children to Dangerous Mercury Levels Since 1999, at <https://www.ecowatch.com/cdc-mercury-vaccines-kennedy-2199157054.html>; see Harvard research showing that the unvaccinated don't spread disease, at <https://needtoknow.news/2017/05/harvard-researcher-debunks-claim-unvaccinated-children-spread-disease-vaccinated-children/>.

responsible for the autism epidemic that has hit the United States since the 80's when the CDC vaccine schedule started increasing to its present outrageous requirements.⁶⁶

This is something that the SB 277 courts above are ignoring or avoiding purposefully, and I contend in conjunction with those cases' Plaintiffs, in order to use *Jacobson* and similar cases in denying the challenges to SB 277. If this is correct, those judges are also Criminal Participants, worthy of R.I.C.O. prosecution, such as that of the *Travis Middleton* case challenging SB 277 and those vaccines' toxic ingredients.

Also, today's vaccines now have ingredients like glyphosate and aluminum that are very toxic and dangerous, which they did not contain in the days of *Jacobson* and *Prince*, something also ignored by the SB 277 courts.⁶⁷

A CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY

As has been noted above, vaccines can have life altering and possible severe consequences to their recipients' health, from minimal tics, to systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE); Guillain-Barre syndrome; multiple sclerosis; exacerbation of multiple sclerosis; seizure; febrile seizure; herpes zoster, encephalitis, Brachial Neuritis; Cardiac disorders Cyanosis; Anaphylaxis; Bronchiolitis; acute gastroenteritis; asthma; aspiration; breath holding; influenza; congestive heart failure; shock; pneumonia; autism; sudden infant death syndrome; and death – all clearly noted in the vaccines own inserts.⁶⁸

The named and unidentified Criminal Participants have done all they can to hide the dangers of vaccines, to convince the public that vaccines are always safe, to criticize anyone going against their country and global mandatory vaccine program plans, and to penalize in whichever way possible any such persons criticizing and opposing their plans, which criminal actions could include murder.⁶⁹ These corrupt individuals, regardless of the reasoning for their action, must be (1) investigated, (2) stopped, (3) jailed, (4) prosecuted, (5) convicted, (6) incarcerated, and where the law requires it, (7) executed⁷⁰ for such crimes against me, unvaccinated person and all persons of this world where the same fraudulent

⁶⁶ Prior to 1960 the rate of autism in the United States was 1 in 10,000 and according to the CDC on or around 2014 it was 1 in 68. Now MIT Senior Research Scientist Stephanie Seneff predicts that by 2032 one out of every two children will be autistic, 80% of them males. See Vaxxed movie trailer, at minute 2:10, at <http://vaxxedthemovie.com/stream/>.

⁶⁷ See video of Dr. Stephanie Seneff, senior research scientist at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, explaining the effects of these new vaccine substances, at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=36_qz2beMaE.

⁶⁸ See vaccine insert analysis, supra, at http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Vaccines_-_Insert_Analysis.pdf.

⁶⁹ See suspicious death of Dr. Jeff Bradstreet, who was curing autism, blamed vaccines for autism and had helped at least one family in the vaccine court (NVICP) to win their case, at http://www.naturalnews.com/054302_Jeff_Bradstreet_murder_autism.html; Three days before his death he was raided by U.S. government agents, http://www.naturalnews.com/050553_Dr_Bradstreet_GcMAF_cancer_therapy.html. At least 10 alternative medicine practitioners associated with Bradstreet died under mysterious circumstances within six months of Dr. Bradstreet's murder, see http://www.naturalnews.com/051122_integrative_doctors_murder_alternative_treatment.html.

⁷⁰ See 18 USC 241, which reads in part, "If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any person in any State ... they shall be fined ... and if death results from the acts committed ... they shall be fined under this title or imprisoned for any term of years or for life, or both, or may be **sentenced to death.**"

impetus on selling, administering and forcing vaccination is taking place. In other words, forced vaccination, or simply vaccination in general considering the now existing rate of autism and obvious connection to vaccination, is a Crime Against Humanity.⁷¹

The implications of the validity of the claims in this complaint point to individuals, corporate and fictitious entities having infiltrated our government, through direct placement of their minions, or through bribes in order to push this agenda of forced vaccination by affecting local, state and federal regulations in order to achieve their goals, and as such are involved in criminal activity that I will describe herein as best I can.

ASSERTIONS AND ACCUSATIONS REGARDING THE ILLEGAL ACTIVITY OF THE CRIMINAL PARTICIPANTS

Following is the manner in which I believe and the evidence suggests that the Criminal Participants have colluded among themselves to violate all my/our rights as noted herein. All of the above exposed activities of the Criminal Participants could only take place if some, most or all of the following activities by each Criminal Participant took place.

INDIVIDUAL CRIMINAL PARTICIPANTS AND THEIR ACTIONS

- California Gov. Jerry Brown

Governor Brown had been given ample information and is well aware of the existing dangers of vaccines and how the imposition of mandatory vaccination in order to attend school violated non-vaccinated parent's rights to exercise their religious beliefs and practices since the introduction of AB 2109.⁷² This is made obvious by Gov. Brown's signing statement regarding AB 2109 where regardless of the wording and requirements of AB 2109 the Governor allowed the religious exemption to be used by parents.

Once SB 277 came to his desk the Governor violated his oath of office to uphold the Constitution by allowing SB 277 to violate our 1st Amendment right to exercise our religious beliefs, while at the same time endangering our children from possible harm by forcing them to be vaccinated in order to attend school.⁷³

⁷¹ See Article 7/1 of the [Statute of the International Criminal Court](#), titled "*Crimes Against Humanity*," which reads in part, "*Crimes against humanity -- 1. For the purpose of this Statute, "crime against humanity" means any of the following acts when committed as part of a widespread or systematic attack directed against any civilian population, with knowledge of the attack: (h) Persecution against any identifiable group or collectivity on political, racial, national, ethnic, cultural, religious, gender as defined in paragraph 3, or other grounds that are universally recognized as impermissible under international law, in connection with any act referred to in this paragraph or any crime within the jurisdiction of the Court; (k) Other inhumane acts of a similar character intentionally causing great suffering, or serious injury to body or to mental or physical health.*"

⁷² See my letter to Governor Brown of April 27, 2015, at http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Vaccines_-_No_On_SB_277_-_Letter_to_Governor_and_Senate_Judiciary_Committee.pdf.

⁷³ Unvaccinated children are being required to take multiple vaccine shots at the same time and all required shots within a short period of time in order to attend school. These are children that have never had such vaccine toxins, virus and cancers in their bodies and would now be exposed to huge amounts in a short interval.

Further, Governor Brown was sent a letter requesting he clarify if his religious exemption from 2012 was still applicable, as he never rescind it in his SB 277 signing statement, but the Governor refused to reply or take action.⁷⁴

By signing SB 277 as legislation and not clarifying if his 2012 religious exemption was still valid, Gov. Brown is colluding with others and/or all named Criminal Participants to make it appear that the religious exemption is no longer applicable as regards avoiding vaccination in order to attend school.

- Sen. Richard Pan, Sen. Ben Allen, Assembly Member Lorena Gonzalez Fletcher, Their Staffs and Associates, et al

Senators Richard Pan and Ben Allen and Assembly Member Lorena Gonzalez Fletcher, together with their staffs and associates, colluded with drug company manufacturers and their lobbyist to try to force vaccination upon the California elementary schools' children.⁷⁵ The first Pan attempt was through AB 2109 and when Gov. Brown's 2012 signing statement provided a religious exemption he came back with SB 277.

Further, Senator Pan is a pediatrician and directly gains monetarily from mandatory vaccination, both from the majority of patient visits being related to the administration of scheduled vaccines and also from bonuses provided by health providers and medical insurance companies.⁷⁶

- Tom Frieden, Julie L. Gerberding, Paul A. Offit, William Thompson, Other CDC Employees, et al

Through its direct contact with the pharma industry, whose key employees take work sabbaticals to go work for the CDC, the named CDC employees have colluded with the vaccine manufacturers to hide all evidence of vaccine injury from their reports, studies and the like; to promote mandatory vaccination and to attack all persons that are a threat to the vaccine industry.

The CDC is financing organizations whose intent is to push the mandatory vaccine agenda on schools, such as their financial support of the National Association of County and City Health Officials (NACCHO).⁷⁷

Even though human disease generally affects persons that have a depressed immune system, the CDC only focuses on three things when it comes to ways to avoid diseases such as measles or the flu: (1) primarily vaccination, (2) washing your hands and (3) covering your mouth when sneezing. In a flyer titled Preventing the Flu, they list eating nutritious foods as the last option to fight airborne diseases.⁷⁸

⁷⁴ See my letter to Gov. Brown of circa May 13, 2016, at [http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Letter to Brown - Religious Exemption - Cover.pdf](http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Letter_to_Brown_-_Religious_Exemption_-_Cover.pdf).

⁷⁵ See video of Pan and Allen in one of the SB 277 hearings where they are being counseled by lobbyist, at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sxDbiJkWcGA>.

⁷⁶ See "How Much Money Do Pediatricians Really Make From Vaccines?" at <https://wellnessandequality.com/2016/06/20/how-much-money-do-pediatricians-really-make-from-vaccines/>.

⁷⁷ See "Secret CDC Front Group is Behind National Vaccine Exemption Battle," at <https://therefusers.com/secret-cdc-front-group-is-behind-national-vaccine-exemption-battle/>.

⁷⁸ See flyer at <https://www.cdc.gov/flu/protect/habits.htm>.

There are ample studies that prove nutrition, natural and wholesome, is the best antidote to fight disease and yet the CDC pushes the vaccine manufacturer agenda of perpetual vaccination with toxic substances that are resulting in the healthcare crisis we are facing in our country.

Further, people associated with the CDC, have a conflict of interest when approving vaccines where some of them are reaping the benefits of approving some vaccines, as did Paul Offit, who voted to add a vaccine to the CDC schedule, even though he was involved in its development.⁷⁹

- Dorit Rubinstein Reiss and U.C. Hastings College of Law Board of Directors, staff, et al

Dorit Rubinstein Reiss and U.C. Hastings staff have colluded together with vaccine manufacturers, the CDC, Paul Offit and other persons and institutions to legitimize the illegal forced vaccination program, writing and publishing articles by Reiss encouraging the violation of anti-vaccination persons and their families' God-given, natural, common law, U.S. and California constitutional and international rights not to vaccinate for medical, personal and/or religious reasons.⁸⁰

Aside from her and/or her family's ties to the vaccine manufacturing industry,⁸¹ Mrs. Reiss has written articles and papers intended to intimate non-vaccinated individuals into submission, such as her paper titled "Compensating the Victims of Failure to Vaccinate: What are the Options?"⁸²

She is also encouraging hospitals and other business to implement mandatory vaccination programs for their employees and to exclude from their policies any personal or religious belief exemptions, finding a way around their employees' legal and constitutional protections.⁸³

Reiss further blatantly tries to convince all her followers that any person who says they are against vaccination for religious purposes is a liar and opportunist with no religious principles to justify such.⁸⁴

In her attempt to promote mandatory vaccination and to create opposition for exemptions, Reiss consistently writes articles in multiple social media forums like Facebook, where she harshly criticizes any person against mandatory vaccination and immediately attacks any existing or new evidence of vaccine related injury.⁸⁵ In some of her posts she has harshly criticized President Trump's consideration

⁷⁹ See Age of Autism article, "Voting Himself Rich: CDC Vaccine Adviser Made \$29 Million Or More After Using Role to Create Market," at <http://www.ageofautism.com/2009/02/voting-himself-rich-cdc-vaccine-adviser-made-29-million-or-more-after-using-role-to-create-market.html>.

⁸⁰ See U.C. Hastings' website biography of Mrs. Reiss as an example, at <http://www.uchastings.edu/faculty/reiss/index.php>.

⁸¹ See *Above the Law* article, "Highlighting A Law Professor Who's Helping To Support Vaccination," an interview with Reiss where it states, "A final note: Professor Reiss asked me to add in the interest of full disclosure that her family's stock portfolio includes GSK, a vaccine manufacturer, at <http://abovethelaw.com/2015/12/highlighting-a-law-professor-whos-helping-to-support-vaccination/>.

⁸² See her paper on vaccine injury compensation, https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2317548.

⁸³ See Reiss' article in *Skeptical Raptor*, "Hospital employee vaccinations – employment discrimination and religion," at <https://www.skepticalraptor.com/skepticalraptorblog.php/hospital-employee-vaccinations-employment-discrimination/>.

⁸⁴ See Reiss' article "Thou Shalt Not Take the Name of the Lord Thy God in Vain: Use and Abuse of Religious Exemptions from School Immunization Requirements," at <http://www.hastingslawjournal.org/wp-content/uploads/Reiss-65.6.pdf>.

⁸⁵ See Reiss' Facebook page at <https://www.facebook.com/dorit.reiss>.

of creating a vaccine safety committee headed by Robert F. Kennedy, Jr., claiming that all the research done by Kennedy is bogus and that President Trump is misguided for even considering creating such a commission.

In my personal email correspondence with Reiss she admits that vaccines can cause physical and mental harm. In one study we discussed that claimed thimerosal caused a risk of autism, "A two-phase study evaluating the relationship between Thimerosal-containing vaccine administration and the risk for an autism spectrum disorder diagnosis in the United States," by David A. Geier and Brian S. Hooker et al, she claims the study was flawed, noting in particular that *"they also only look at one vaccine, without controlling for thimerosal exposure from others ... this approach will introduce errors as other vaccines administered (or not) will have a profound effect on thiomersal (sic) exposure from vaccines"* This is significant because Reiss admits here that the quantity of thimerosal is relevant, that at some point it can cause autism or serious mental disability. This is an even bigger concern when one considers that vaccines are now given in multiple doses.⁸⁶

As to why vaccines are not tested to see if they are carcinogen, Reiss replied, *"Why aren't vaccines tested for carcinogenicity, etc.? Because there's no biologic basis to think they cause any of those."* Then I mentioned that vaccines contained glyphosate a known carcinogen, and she replied, *"No, I don't consider that just the presence of glyphosate would show the vaccine can cause cancer. That would depend on amount, and require some support."* This statement means that Reiss (1) admits that glyphosate is a carcinogen, (2) that in certain amounts in vaccines [or combined vaccines] it can cause cancer, and (3) that indeed, if for no other reason, this would justify the testing of vaccines to see if they can cause cancer, regardless of what substance or substances in vaccines can cause such cancer.⁸⁷

- Merck Group, Merck, Merck and Co., Inc., Other Vaccine Manufacturers, et al

Through their bribery of and infiltration into government agencies, such as the CDC and the FDA, Merck and other vaccine manufacturers et al have gained approval to sell their vaccines regardless of the risks known by the vaccine manufacturers and cited in their vaccine inserts, have encouraged or forced such agencies to heavily promote mandatory vaccination for children and adults, and had the CDC manipulate vaccine studies' data to eliminate any evidence of vaccine injury, in particular Autism.⁸⁸

Through their Board of Directors, majority stock holders, top operating management officers, heads of Sales and Marketing departments and their salesman, and through their lobbying representatives, these vaccine manufacturers have promoted their mandatory vaccine agenda, creating incentive programs of various type to get their agents to push vaccines, from doctors to hospitals and county health departments, in order to achieve their goals, always hiding the dangers of vaccines, as has now been

⁸⁶ See CDC vaccine schedule which shows how in order to comply with the schedule pediatricians would have to give multiple vaccines in their patients' appointments, at <https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/parents/downloads/parent-ver-sch-0-6yrs.pdf>.

⁸⁷ See Exhibit 10, correspondence with Reiss on her admissions to vaccine harm, at [http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Criminal Complaint - Vaccines - Exhibits.pdf](http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Criminal%20Complaint%20-%20Vaccines%20-%20Exhibits.pdf).

⁸⁸ See Dr. William Thompson's declaration of fraud related to hiding and destroying data proving the MMR vaccine causes autism in the documentary Vaxxed, supra.

exposed by whistleblowers from Merck⁸⁹ and CDC's Dr. Thompson. Merck also omitted data concerning ovarian failure related to the Gardasil vaccine.⁹⁰ Even when there is an obvious connection between an injury and vaccination, like fever-related seizures immediately following vaccination, these vaccine manufactures are fast to state that the vaccines are not the culprit.⁹¹

- Blue Cross, Blue Shield, Blue Care Network, Blue Cross/Blue Shield Association, et al

Blue Cross et al have created incentive programs to promote vaccines by bribing pediatricians in the form of bonuses for accomplishing their child patients' complete compliance with the CDC immunization schedule, an amount equal to \$400 per child. Such program goes further and creates a disincentive requirement for doctors to avoid reporting any child apparent vaccine injury in the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) and an incentive for doctors to convince their patients' parents that the injury is not vaccine related and that it should not be reported. In one of their plans it states that doctors cannot get such bonus if there is a VAERS vaccine injury report and while this is written in a manner to appear that such injured children are not counted when calculating the acceptable percentage of compliance, the result is the same: if the child has a vaccine injury report on file, the \$400 bonus will not be awarded for that child, even if the doctor convinces the family to continue vaccination regardless of injury.⁹²

- Takashi Wada MD, Director, California Department of Public Health, Santa Barbara, et al; and All California County Departments of Health, Management personnel, et al

After the passing of SB 277, Dr. Wada et al conspired with other governmental agencies, including the California Department of Public Health, to intimidate doctors issuing medical exemption by attempting to require local schools to provide records of any doctor-issued medical exemption so it could be scrutinized by them, even though SB 277 does not give any authority for any department of health or school district to do so, against that child's medical privacy and such doctor's right to use his professional medical opinion to decide if a medical exemption should be issued or not, including whether or not vaccines are potentially dangerous to some or all children.⁹³ This was clearly done to

⁸⁹ See the Legal Examiner article, "Massive Fraud In Merck MMR Vaccine Testing," at

<http://philadelphia.legalexaminer.com/fda-prescription-drugs/massive-fraud-in-merck-mmr-vaccine-testing/>.

⁹⁰ See "Did Merck Deceive FDA About Gardasil Vaccine Research Omitting Data Concerning Ovarian Failure?" at <http://vaccineimpact.com/2016/did-merck-deceive-fda-about-gardasil-vaccine-research-omitting-data-concerning-ovarian-failure/>.

⁹¹ See Exhibit 4, Earthlink news report regarding reports of 36 seizures in children between the ages of 6 months and 2 years within a day of getting the Fluzone flu shot in Atlanta in 2001. It states in part, "*The maker of the flu shot (Sanofi Pasteur) says there's no clear link between the vaccine and seizures, and they may have been coincidental,*" at http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Criminal_Complaint_-_Vaccines_-_Exhibits.pdf.

⁹² See Blue Cross brochure "2016 Performance Recognition Program" page 15, at http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Pediatrician_Bonus.pdf.

⁹³ No doctor can state with absolute certainty if a vaccine would affect any particular child because no child is extensively tested medically prior to vaccination to see if the vaccine components, alone or in combination with other vaccines, can have adverse and detrimental effects on such child. Some vaccine inserts state that such vaccine should not be administered if that person is susceptible, allergic or otherwise vulnerable to any or all of the ingredients in a vaccine; and yet, no child is tested for that possibility prior to vaccination.

intimidate any doctor from issuing any sort of medical exemptions, which as noted above, has resulted in some physicians being threaten with losing their medical license.⁹⁴

- National Association of County and City Health Officials (NACCHO) management personnel, et al

The National Association of County and City Health Officials (NACCHO) urges the federal government to support the creation of a comprehensive national immunization program that addresses all stages of life (cradle to grave) with the intention of achieving the Healthy People 2020 immunization goals and standards.

Through extensive funding by the CDC, NACCHO has infiltrated state and county health and education agency officials and has promoted a mandatory vaccination agenda. One example is their heavy lobbying for Oregon's SB 442, another bill that makes the CDC schedule vaccines mandatory in order to receive primary education.⁹⁵

Further, NACCHO is encouraging school districts to eliminate the personal and religious exemptions for vaccination, as well as directing the local health departments to monitor all child vaccination history. In their 2011 Statement of Policy they write in part:

*"The National Association of County and City Health Officials (NACCHO) urges that personal belief exemptions be removed from state immunization laws and regulations. NACCHO acknowledges that there are states that may not be in a position to eliminate personal belief exemptions immediately. States that easily permit personal belief exemptions to immunizations have significantly higher rates of exemption than states that have more complex procedures. These **states should begin a process to limit the availability of personal belief exemptions to the greatest degree possible**. An initial step might be to review the process of applying for and receiving exemptions: **the more educational and demanding the process, the lower will be the rate of exemptions**. There should be more involved in the application process than simply signing a form.*

*A function of many state and local health departments is to collect vaccination data and maintain immunization registries. These registries are often used to help ensure children and adolescents have up-to-date immunizations. **Low levels of vaccine coverage among adults underscores the need to expand these systems to include adults and for providers to develop systems to minimize missed opportunities.**"*

NACCHO developed and promotes policies to discourage parents from using personal or religious exemptions. In their November 2006 Statement of Policy they write:

⁹⁴ See my letter to Wada, circa June 16, 2016, at http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Santa_Barbara_County_-_SB277_Exemption_Check.pdf. See original letter by Wada at <http://avoiceforchoice.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/06/061516-LTR-to-SBCPHD.pdf>.

⁹⁵ See "Secret CDC Front Group is Behind National Vaccine Exemption Battle," at <https://therefusers.com/secret-cdc-front-group-is-behind-national-vaccine-exemption-battle/>.

“To discourage casual use of personal belief exemptions, NACCHO supports the following courses of action:

- Federal support for conducting routine surveillance of school immunization records to identify gaps in immunization coverage related to personal belief exemptions.*
- Federal support and guidance to assist in developing exemption procedures that encourage parents to comply with vaccination requirements rather than claim exemption as a means of convenience.*
- Federal support and guidance regarding effective ways to implement procedures and administrative controls that limit nonmedical, nonreligious exemptions.*
- Federal support to primary care providers, local health departments, school nurses, and/or the state/local immunization coalition to conduct mandatory sessions that provide education about immunizations’ impact on public and personal health and integrate information about the responsibilities associated with exercising the parental right to a personal belief exemption.*
- School systems and childcare facilities (where appropriate) should use an exemption application form that requires a parental signature acknowledging their understanding that their decision not to immunize places their child and other children at risk for diseases and ensuing complications. The form should also state that in the event of an exposure to a vaccine-preventable illness, their child would be removed from school and all school-related activities for the appropriate two incubation periods beyond the date of onset of the last case, which is standard public health practice.*
- School systems and child care facilities (where appropriate) should require annual renewal of exemption forms. This process would provide multiple opportunities for education regarding the value of vaccinations and the responsibilities inherent in choosing not to be vaccinated. The parents would thus be required to make an informed decision annually rather than just once.*
- Federal support to ensure compliance with exemption reporting by all schools, monitor exemption rates, and provide public reports of exemption rates over time in order to help define the vaccine-preventable disease risk related to the exemption rate at the school and community level.”⁹⁶*

⁹⁶ See NACCHO Statement of Policy of 11/2006 at <https://web.archive.org/web/20120707181251/http://www.naccho.org/advocacy/positions/upload/11-06-Personal-Belief-Exemptions.pdf>.

- Dr. David Reynaldo, Dr. Martha Layla Dipp, Sharp, Sharp Healthcare, Sharp Rees-Stealy, et al

Pediatricians have an obligation to look out for the wellbeing of their patients above their own financial interests, yet in the case of vaccination, doctor's are not doing their due diligence investigation when it comes to the serious issue of vaccines and vaccine safety, and are pushing vaccines on their patients even though such vaccination is resulting in injuries they are seeing in their patients and their hospitals.⁹⁷

Further, these pediatricians are receiving bonuses from their hospitals, insurance companies and vaccines manufacturers (directly or indirectly), for ensuring that their child patients get all their CDC vaccines, are failing to report obvious vaccine related reactions in the VAERS system and are discouraging parents from filing such reports. As noted above, Dr. Dipp is encouraging me to vaccinate one of my children and is pushing the CDC agenda, stating that vaccines are safe, while at the same time refusing to (1) demonstrate that she has extensively studied the pros and cons of vaccination, and (2) refusing to sign a waiver to her immunity from vaccine injury if my child were to be injured by such vaccination. In an attempt to humiliate me and make me feel guilty in one office visit Dr. Reynaldo told me that he wished that his son did not attend the same school as mine, as mine would infect his.

Sharp Rees-Stealy and other hospitals are also profiting from both the administration of vaccines, as well as the complications that are associated with vaccine injury and all necessary medical procedures that are followed in such instances resulting in prolonged hospitalization and additional medical treatment.

Similar to the CDC, these hospital physicians focus on pharmacological products to address all ailments and diseases as opposed to focusing on the strengthening of the immune system through natural and good nutrition, use of supplements, and homeopathic remedies that do not have the negative side effects of vaccines, as most if not all orthodox medicines which only help with symptoms, but not for curing diseases. All one needs to do is to listen to all the side effects listed by the pharma industry in their commercials.

- Wilma J. Wotten, MD, Health Officer & Director, Co. of San Diego Health & Human Services Agency, et al

Ms. Wotten and other health department representatives have been working together with NACCHO representatives in order to help to eliminate the personal and religious beliefs exemption for purposes of school attendance,⁹⁸ putting pressure on school districts to follow suit and providing incentives for them to do so.

⁹⁷ See pediatricians nurse testimony on how vaccines are affecting children, at <https://www.facebook.com/groups/CaliforniansforVaccineChoice/permalink/1336278169729666/>. See also testimony by Michelle Rowton from Nurses Against Mandatory Vaccines at <https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/127392574335004/>.

⁹⁸ See Exhibit 5, Wotten's reply to my Public Records Act request pertaining to interactions with NACCHO, at http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Criminal_Complaint_-_Vaccines_-_Exhibits.pdf.

The San Diego County Health department further hides its own records that show that it is indeed vaccinated children who are typically involved in related outbreaks and are the ones that spread diseases such as whooping cough upon the masses, both vaccinated and unvaccinated.⁹⁹

- California Department of Education, All California Elementary, Middle and High Schools, et al

The California Department of education and all associated schools are implementing the SB 277 requirement for mandatory vaccination in order to attend school and are colluding with the County Department of Public Health and local health agencies in order to enforce the compulsory vaccination program agenda.

Schools administrators are being told to not question the vaccine policy and to simply put it in place, even though it is evident to these school principals and staff that every day more autistic and physical/mentally affected children are appearing in their schools. One only needs to speak with the parents of these special needs children to discover that most of these parents have stories of their children being affected by these mandatory vaccines, and who believe their child's present condition is directly associated with such vaccination. To make matters worse, these parents usually remain silent about this link between injury and vaccines due to pressure placed on them by their doctors, their health departments, their school representatives and other authorities, who without proof dismiss their claims.

- University of California Board of Regents, et al

In collusion with education and health departments' personnel, as well as other unknown individuals, the U.C. Board of Regents are violating its student's rights as described herein by attempting to implement mandatory vaccine policies over the entire college and university system.¹⁰⁰

- Francisco Escobedo, Nancy Kerwin, Ernesto Villanueva, Chula Vista Elementary School District, et al

Two of my children are presently attending an elementary school in one of the Chula Vista, California schools. Personnel from the Chula Vista Elementary School District are doing everything in their power to ensure that parents of unvaccinated children are misinformed as to their rights not to vaccinate their children, even though they have been informed how such actions are violating our rights¹⁰¹ and their oath of office.

The text of SB 277 authorizes parents to submit a letter or affidavit in opposition to mandatory vaccination for their child by January 1, 2016, which letter/affidavit would guarantee that such child

⁹⁹ See County reported whooping cough cases in San Diego County between 2011 and 2016, at http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/San_Diego_County_-_Outbreak_News.pdf.

¹⁰⁰ See my letter to the U.C. Board of Regents, dated June 14, 2016, at http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/UC_Regents_Letter.pdf.

¹⁰¹ See my letter to my children's school regarding my opposition to mandatory vaccination, circa November 30, 2015; sample at http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Vaccine_Exception_Letter_-_2015_-_Sample_-_Rev1.pdf.

would not require vaccination to attend school until the next grade span.¹⁰² Gov. Brown issued his SB 277 signing statement in June 30, 2015. Even though Mr. Escobedo, Ms. Kerwin and Mr. Villanueva knew about this, they failed or refused to issue any notice to parents of unvaccinated children to that effect until and after the deadline had passed.

Under a Public Records Act (PRA) request the District provided correspondence from Deann (Jeffreys) Ragsdale, Executive Director of Special Education and Instruction, to Nancy Kerwin, Executive Director of Student, Family and Community Services, dated August 17, 2015, shortly after the passing of SB 277. In the email it notes that children that submit a personal belief exemption before January 1, 2016 could proceed to attend school without complying with the vaccination mandate.¹⁰³ Even though it had this information and an obligation to notify parents of unvaccinated children of this opportunity to avoid vaccination, at least until the next grade span, the District failed or refused to do so.

In further correspondence with Ernesto Villanueva, Executive Director of Operations and Instruction, dated July 6, 2016, Mr. Villanueva admitted that after the passage of SB 277 the District did not send any notification of the January 1, 2016 deadline to submit the vaccine exemption letter/affidavit to parent of unvaccinated children, even though those parents were easy to identify.¹⁰⁴ In a second email, dated July 16, 2016, Mr. Villanueva noted that these parents were only provided notice of the letter/affidavit dateline requirement when the District distributed its 2016-2017 school year registration packages, which took place in or around March of 2016 – NINE MONTHS AFTER SB 277 TOOK EFFECT, ensuring that parents of unvaccinated children who were not aware of SB 277 the January 1, 2016 deadline and therefore missed their opportunity to opt out of the SB 277 vaccine mandate for as long as possible.¹⁰⁵

Further, requests to the District and their Board of Education members to address the concerns of parents of unvaccinated children and the creation of a Vaccine Citizen Advisory Committee under District rules, as authorized by Board Policy 1220 and Administrative Regulation 1220, have been denied without acceptable justification.¹⁰⁶ This is a violation of all District Staff's oath of office to comply with the U.S. and California Constitutions and to not violate our religious rights and all our other rights as noted herein.

- Chula Vista Elementary School District (California) Legal Representative Dean T. Adams

Mr. Dean Adams, as representative of the Chula Vista Elementary School District, has violated his professional oath of office to uphold the Constitution and protect our 1st Amendment and other rights by instructing the District to ignore all my requests to address my concerns regarding mandatory

¹⁰² Either attending primary school for the first time or moving on to 7th grade. This includes children for the first time attending kindergarten, first grade, or those students coming from other countries enrolled in elementary school for the first time in the United States.

¹⁰³ See Exhibit 6, emails between Ragsdale and Kerwin, at http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Criminal_Complaint_-_Vaccines_-_Exhibits.pdf.

¹⁰⁴ See Exhibit 7, correspondence with Villanueva, at http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Criminal_Complaint_-_Vaccines_-_Exhibits.pdf.

¹⁰⁵ See Exhibit 8, correspondence with Villanueva, at http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Criminal_Complaint_-_Vaccines_-_Exhibits.pdf.

¹⁰⁶ See Exhibit 9, correspondence with Dean Adams, attorney for the District, at http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Criminal_Complaint_-_Vaccines_-_Exhibits.pdf.

vaccination for my children and other none-vaccinated children in the District, and to deny all my requests to allow children to attend school using the religious exemption, which is in violation of our U.S. and California Constitutions religious rights, as well as all other rights noted herein.

Mr. Adams has further ill-advised the District into denying my request to create a citizen advisory committee to represent parents of unvaccinated children.

REMEDY AND RELIEF REQUESTED

Through this civil and criminal rights violation complaint, I and all in my class, request that the U.S. Department of Justice, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, and the U.S. Department of Education, separately or together, do the following:

1. Investigate all the claims in my complaint.
2. Establish a grand jury to present evidence against the above named Criminal Participants.
3. Take legal action against the Criminal Participants, both through civil action and criminal prosecution to the highest extent of the law, including fines, jail time, and where applicable the death penalty. Applicable crimes to include genocide and crimes against humanity, and conspiracy to do the same. Legal actions in the United States should not bar any criminal actions in international courts of justice similar to those proceedings carried out in the Nuremberg trails.
4. Stop all funding of California state institutions, including the departments of health and education, until such entities change their policies and start allowing unvaccinated children to attend schools and employees to work in any area without the need or requirement of mandatory vaccination. Specifically, that any California state funding from the U.S. federal government associated with the departments of health and education be halted until SB 277 is repealed or revised to allow the personal and religious beliefs exemptions to compulsory vaccination in order to attend school or work in any employment position in the state.
5. That the U.S. Department of Justice move to eliminate the existing Vaccine Court so that vaccine manufacturers can be held liable for any damages caused by their products, equal to what all other manufacturers of medications and medical devices face. And

6. That the U.S. Department of Justice, through the funds collected by imposition of fines on any or all Criminal Participants, compensate parents of children who were injured by vaccines in a fair and equitable manner, and that the claims of all those denied such justice under the Vaccine Court that their cases be further investigated in the light of the evidence provided herein, and that they be allowed to bring their actions outside of the vaccine court, as would be allowed against any manufacturer liability lawsuit.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Ricardo Beas

A Child of God – Not a Government Created Fictitious Entity

A Natural Born Free Human Being

Chula Vista, California, U.S.A.

EXHIBIT 1

REPORTS, STUDIES, DOCUMENTARIES AND MEDICAL EXPERT DECLARATIONS REGARDING THE DANGERS OF VACCINES AND THEIR INGREDIENTS

PEER REVIEWED DOCUMENTS

1. "Pilot comparative study on the health of vaccinated and unvaccinated 6- to 12-year-old U.S. children"
<http://www.cmsri.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/MawsonStudyHealthOutcomes5.8.2017.pdf>
2. "Temporal Association of Certain Neuropsychiatric Disorders Following Vaccination of Children and Adolescents: A Pilot Case–Control Study"
<http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpsy.2017.00003/full>
3. "A two-phase study evaluating the relationship between Thimerosal-containing vaccine administration and the risk for an autism spectrum disorder diagnosis in the United States"
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3878266/>
4. "A positive association found between autism prevalence and childhood vaccination uptake across the U.S. population"
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21623535>
5. "Commentary--Controversies surrounding mercury in vaccines: autism denial as impediment to universal immunization"
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25377033>
6. "Methodological issues and evidence of malfeasance in research purporting to show thimerosal in vaccines is safe"
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24995277>
7. "Abnormal measles-mumps-rubella antibodies and CNS autoimmunity in children with autism"
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12145534>
8. "Hepatitis B vaccination of male neonates and autism diagnosis, NHIS 1997-2002"
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21058170>
9. "Do aluminum vaccine adjuvants contribute to the rising prevalence of autism?"
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22099159>
10. "What is regressive autism and why does it occur? Is it the consequence of multi-systemic dysfunction affecting the elimination of heavy metals and the ability to regulate neural temperature?"
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3364648/>

11. "A case series of children with apparent mercury toxic encephalopathies manifesting with clinical symptoms of regressive autistic disorders"
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17454560>
12. "A comprehensive review of mercury provoked autism"
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19106436>
13. "Thimerosal Exposure and the Role of Sulfation Chemistry and Thiol Availability in Autism"
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3774468/>
14. "B-Lymphocytes from a Population of Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder and Their Unaffected Siblings Exhibit Hypersensitivity to Thimerosal"
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3697751/>
15. "Theoretical aspects of autism: causes--a review"
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21299355>
16. "Conjugate vaccines and autism"
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21907498>
17. "Autism: a novel form of mercury poisoning"
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11339848>
18. "A prospective study of thimerosal-containing Rho(D)-immune globulin administration as a risk factor for autistic disorders"
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17674242>
19. "Hypothesis: conjugate vaccines may predispose children to autism spectrum disorders"
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21993250>
20. "The potential importance of steroids in the treatment of autistic spectrum disorders and other disorders involving mercury toxicity"
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15780490>
21. "Reduced levels of mercury in first baby haircuts of autistic children"
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12933322>
22. "Cultured lymphocytes from autistic children and non-autistic siblings up-regulate heat shock protein RNA in response to thimerosal challenge"
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16870260>

23. "A possible central mechanism in autism spectrum disorders, part 1"
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19043938>
24. "The role of mercury in the pathogenesis of autism"
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12142947>
25. "Aluminum in Childhood Vaccines Is Unsafe"
<http://www.jpands.org/vol21no4/miller.pdf>
26. "Biopersistence and brain translocation of aluminum adjuvants of vaccines"
<http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fneur.2015.00004/full>
27. "Severe somatoform and dysautonomic syndromes after HPV vaccination: case series and review of literature"
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/m/pubmed/27503625/?i=2&from=Gardasil>
28. See Additional 481 Vaccine Studies Proving the Ineffectiveness and Dangers of Vaccination at <http://www.greenmedinfo.com/anti-therapeutic-action/vaccination-all>

VACCINE MOVIES AND DOCUMENTARIES

- ▶ Deadly Immunity - Robert F. Kennedy Jr. investigates the government cover-up of a mercury/autism scandal:
tinyurl.com/WatchDeadlyImmunity
- ▶ Vaxxed: From Cover Up to Catastrophe - Interviews with pharmaceutical insiders, doctors, politicians, and parents of vaccine-injured children reveal an alarming deception that has contributed to the skyrocketing increase of autism and potentially the most catastrophic epidemic of our lifetime.
tinyurl.com/WatchVaxxedNow
- ▶ Autism: Made in The USA - In this award-winning documentary film Gary Null explores the causes and solutions to the epidemic of autism in our children. In this film you will see children who have made an extraordinary recovery as they reconnect with the world around them:
tinyurl.com/WatchMadeInTheUSA
- ▶ Bought - We've ended up with an entire society getting sicker and sicker while the industrial powers get richer and richer: boughtmovie.com/free-viewing
- ▶ Trace Amounts - This film presents interviews with specialists in the field that discuss just how very how dangerous mercury in vaccines is: tinyurl.com/WatchTraceAmounts
- ▶ Shots In The Dark - Despite the serious and numerous side effects of vaccines, pharmaceutical companies, the medical profession and government authorities continue to bury their heads in the sand, refusing to see a serious problem: tinyurl.com/WatchShotsInTheDark

- ▶ The Silent Epidemic: The Untold Story of Vaccines - In the developed world we have the sickest generations of children – with many new chronic disorders and the highest levels of vaccinations and vaccines ever. This is not coincidence. Vaccines affect the normal functioning of your and your child’s immune system and cause chronic disorders in a significant proportion of the population: tinyurl.com/WatchSilentEpidemic
- ▶ The Vaccinated Girls - The experiences of 3 girls suffering from serious health conditions caused by Gardasil: tinyurl.com/WatchTheVaccinatedGirls
- ▶ The Greater Good - This documentary tells the rarely heard stories of three families adversely impacted by vaccination: tinyurl.com/WatchGreaterGood
- ▶ Vaccine Nation - In this documentary film, award-winning investigative film director Dr. Gary Null challenges the basic health claims by government health agencies and pharmaceutical firms that vaccines are perfectly safe. This is one of the most critical questions facing today's children and future generations to come: tinyurl.com/WatchVaccineNation
- ▶ Cervical Cancer Vaccine: Is It Safe? - Young Women In Ireland Speak Of Their Debilitating Conditions Due To The Gardasil Vaccine: tinyurl.com/WatchIsItSafe
- ▶ DPT: Vaccine Roulette - Documentary On The DPT vaccination produced in 1982: tinyurl.com/WatchDPT
- ▶ Hear The Silence - Drama based on the true story about the MMR vaccine and its links to autism: tinyurl.com/WatchHearTheSilence

COMMENTS AND WRITINGS FROM EXPERT DOCTORS AGAINST VACCINES

1. “An Open Letter to Legislators Currently Considering Vaccine Legislation,” by Tetyana Obukhanych, PhD in Immunology, <http://www.healthnutnews.com/harvard-immunologist-unvaccinated-children-pose-zero-risk/>
2. Dr. Meryl Nass speaks on #CDC corruption and the virtually impossible task of being compensated in "Vaccine Court" and how vaccines are harming our immune and neurological systems Gardasil in particular <https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/166599300414331>
3. Dr. Jay Gordon warns us: "Vaccines are causing an increase in the incidence in everything from diabetes to #MultipleSclerosis to other auto-immune diseases." <https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/144958482578413>
4. Lawrence Palevsky, MD, discusses the contents of vaccines and the significant lack of knowledge about the lasting impact of injecting these ingredients into the body: <https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/126991741041754>
5. Dr. Nancy Banks, on the physician industry: "We're not educating people to think, we're training people to do a job. We're not doing science, we're doing warfare." <https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/160854090988852>

6. Dr. Andrew Wakefield and Dr. Toni Bark share their views on the vaccine industry, which is motivated by profits over people, not science or concern for our children's health and well-being: <https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/135054420235486>
7. Dr. Leonard Horowitz, whose Mother died from vaccine injury, pinpoints the reason for the epidemic of #autoimmune disease wreaking havoc on our population: <https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/184279245313003>
8. Neurosurgeon, Dr. Russell Blaylock, shreds vaccine mythology as he explains the very real and scientifically proven harm caused by the sequential doses of vaccines given to babies, based upon the CDC's poisonous "schedule": <https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/185942185146709>
9. Dr. Robert Scott Bell discusses the harmful effects of even "trace amounts" of #heavymetals within vaccines: <https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/183381818736079>
10. Dr. Suzanne Humphries lays to rest, with scientific back up, the fallacy that #aluminum in infant and child vaccines is totally harmless: <https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/170609603346634>
11. Boyd Haley, PhD tell us that the highest vaccination rates = The highest levels of #autism and infant deaths: <https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/169213803486214>

Dr. Toni Bark discussing the unusual number of deaths and shoddy safety testing of #Gardasil - A vaccine that was never actually required to prove that it prevented cervical cancer: <https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/168559710218290>
12. Dr. Kent Holtorf, Infectious Disease Specialist, had this to say about the safety of vaccine adjuvants and whether or not he would administer them to his children: <https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/178849772522617>
13. Naturopath, Philip Weeks, explains how vaccines contribute to the accumulation of toxins and the role they play when it comes to the overall toxic burden to the body: <https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/126988251042103>
14. Dr. Andrew Moulden discusses the very visible signs of brain damage caused by these toxic injections: <https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/176492452758349>
15. Dr. Peter Gotzsche states informs us: "What doctors know about drugs is more or less what the drug industry has told them." <https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/170301803377414>
16. Dr. Sherri Tenpenny discusses vaccine myths: <https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/126955211045407>

17. Dr. Stephanie Seneff offers a stellar explanation of the vaccine/Autism connection: <https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/121659274908334>
18. Dr. Lucija Tomljenovic tells us: "Vaccine manufacturer's studies are not designed to detect serious adverse events."....."You won't find what you're not looking for!"
<https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/144631712611090/>
19. Dr. Theresa Deisher discusses the Autism epidemic, fetal cell lines in vaccines, autoimmunity and vaccine dangers:
<https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/166919437048984>
20. Dr. Rachael Ross, MD, Star of "The Doctors", upon learning of catastrophic vaccine-injury, says: "You have to come to terms with the damage that you've potentially caused through the years.":<https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/132412017166393>
21. Hear the very moving story of Dr. Rashid Buttar's first born son, Abie, who was vaccine injured as a child, and has not only made a full recovery, but has also been the model for which thousands of children have been treated for vaccine injury worldwide:
<https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/160556851018576>
22. Dr. Russell Blaylock shares his knowledge of the CDC, psychotropic drugs, vaccines and #scientificfraud:<https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/167591076981820>
23. Multiple physicians speak of the profit-driven vaccine industry:
<https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/161266014280993>
24. Jaw-Dropping Testimony from Michelle Rowton of "Nurses Against Mandatory Vaccines": Hospital workers knowingly inducing vaccine injury to premature infants:<https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/127392574335004>
25. Dr. Suzanne Humphries, a practicing nephrologist (kidney physician) says the vaccine industry isn't giving people both sides of the story, and parents need to get informed before subjecting their children to vaccines that can potentially cause serious harm or even death:
<https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/130255707382024>
26. The late Dr. Andrew Moulden revealing the evidence of vaccine-damage in the faces of children: <https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/128312907576304>
27. Dr. Stephanie Seneff shares her insights on vaccines and their impact on our health:<https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/169318883475706>
28. Heather McLennand and Richard Deth, Ph.D., a professor of pharmacology at Northeastern University, speak about the vaccine-autism connection and the life-altering health conditions Heather's son, Liam, suffered after vaccinations:
<https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/169291726811755>

29. Dr. Mark Grier, M.D, Ph.D, on the accumulative, brain damaging effects of #thimerosal used to keep vaccines sterile - "Immensely toxic stuff!"
<https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/169245366816391>

30. Dr. Sherri Tenpenny - "Vaccination: What CDC Documents and Science Reveal": <https://www.facebook.com/RevolutionForChoice/videos/167606800313581>

MUMPS OUTBREAKS – ALL INVOLVING THE VACCINATED

Denver: "Young said all six of the adult patients had been vaccinated against the disease."
<http://denver.cbslocal.com/2016/02/...>

All 40 Harvard cases fully vaccinated for mumps: "Last month, the public health department in Cambridge, where Harvard is located, said all students affected had been immunized against mumps before they contracted it." <http://www.cnn.com/2016/04/27/healt...>

"We have officially an outbreak of mumps in this population," HUHS Director Paul J. Barreira said. "It's happening with students who are well-immunized, so it's a breakthrough infection." <http://www.thecrimson.com/article/2...>

"Incoming freshmen are required to have received the MMRV vaccination, a vaccine commonly used to prevent the incidence of mumps." <http://www.thecrimson.com/article/2...>

MMR is required for admission to Harvard. <https://www.gsas.harvard.edu/images...>

"Mumps All of the students who were tentatively diagnosed with mumps had been vaccinated," <http://nypost.com/2014/02/21/mumps-...>

Ohio: "Some 97% of those in the Ohio outbreak have been vaccinated, he said."
<http://www.cnn.com/2014/03/24/healt...>

New Jersey: "Eight students at the Stevens Institute of Technology and Hoboken are being kept away from others while they're being treated for the -- the students are between eighteen and 21 years old contracted the virus despite being vaccinated in a statement the college says."
<http://abcnews.go.com/Health/video/...>

Missouri: "The five MU students with confirmed cases of the mumps were previously given both recommended doses of the measles, mumps and rubella vaccine,"
<http://www.columbiamissourian.com/n...>

LEXINGTON, KY: The three students who contracted mumps were vaccinated, according to UofK. The school doesn't know what caused a recent outbreak of mumps on campus."
<http://www.wkyt.com/content/news/UK...>

Manchester, NH: "All the patients are members of the men's college hockey team and all had received prior vaccinations." <http://m.wmur.com/news/health-and-h...>

Kentucky: "The UofL student who became infected was vaccinated." <http://m.wlky.com/news/Health-offic...>

Toronto: "All five recent cases of the mumps virus in Guelph involved students who had received their vaccinations for measles, mumps and rubella, public health officials say." <http://kitchener.ctvnews.ca/mobile/...>

Manhattan & Bronx: Fordham U 100% vaxxed. <http://nypost.com/2014/02/21/mumps-...>

New York: "This is a well-vaccinated community," said Zucker from New York City's health department. But the vaccine isn't 100 percent effective. The CDC notes in the weekly report that this outbreak shows that mumps can occur in highly vaccinated populations, at <http://www.cnn.com/2010/HEALTH/02/11/mumps.outbreak/index.html?hpt=Sbin>.