

**NOTICE OF POTENTIAL CIVIL LAWSUIT AND CRIMINAL PROSECUTION  
AT THE CORPORATE AND PERSONAL LEVELS**

**OBJECTION TO FORCED VACCINATION TO RETAIN EMPLOYMENT**

MS Word, go to: [http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Vaccines\\_-\\_Employer\\_Notice.docx](http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Vaccines_-_Employer_Notice.docx)

Date: Today  
From: Me  
To: **Employer, c/o Head of Organization**

Dear **Employer**,

Be advised that although I enjoy working for this organization and would like nothing better than to continue working here, **I cannot subject myself to forced vaccination**, which I believe is detrimental to my health and is against my religious beliefs.

Furthermore, California SB 792, which is mere legislation (only a court of competent jurisdiction can declare what the law is as it applies to each individual), if applied against me, is a violation of my Natural and Common Law Rights, against my federal (1<sup>st</sup> Amendment and Civil Rights laws) and my California State Constitutional rights, as well as a violation of International Law; and as such, **should be ignored by you and this organization, allowing me to continue employment without threat of or actual discharge for not allowing myself to be vaccinated against my will.**

**“The science is NOT clear,”** contrary to California Senator Pan and Governor Brown’s claims! The whole idea of forced mandatory vaccines to protect vaccinated individuals from those that are not, for herd immunity purposes, which is the supposed justification for SB 277 and SB 792, has no foundation in fact and reality, not even by mere logic: **If vaccines work, why would vaccinated persons fear getting infected by unvaccinated persons, when they are supposedly protected by such vaccines?** To make things more obvious, below are six media news reports, covering a 6 year period around the country, that prove that **in fact, vaccinated persons are the ones that are involved in and are causing disease outbreaks (because vaccines inject that same dead and/or living virus into vaccinated person’s bodies, an apparent magnet to such viruses in the environment)**. See attachments noted below, also available at [http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Exhibits\\_Employer\\_Descrimination.pdf](http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Exhibits_Employer_Descrimination.pdf).

<b>Exhibit 1</b> San Diego, CA 8/5/2010	Whooping Cough	<b>Total Cases</b> <b>4</b> Fully Vaccinated 2 Vaccinated, missed booster 2 <b>Unvaccinated</b> <b>0</b>
<b>Exhibit 2</b> San Diego, CA 8/26/2010	Whooping Cough	<b>Total Cases</b> <b>3</b> Vaccinated 2 Vaccinated, missed booster 1 <b>Unvaccinated</b> <b>0</b>

<b>Exhibit 3</b> San Diego, CA 10/7/2010	Chickenpox	<b>Total Cases</b> <b>10</b> Fully Vaccinated 8 Vaccinated, missed booster 1 Unidentified 1 <b>Unvaccinated</b> <b>0</b>
<b>Exhibit 11</b> Lexington, KY 2/22/2016	Mumps	<b>Total Cases</b> <b>3</b> Fully Vaccinated 3 <b>Unvaccinated</b> <b>0</b>
<b>Exhibit 12</b> Montgomery County, PA 4/11/2016	Whooping Cough	<b>Total Cases</b> <b>56</b> Fully Vaccinated 56 <b>Unvaccinated</b> <b>0</b>
<b>Exhibit 13</b> Chicago, IL 2/23/2016	Whooping Cough	<b>Total Cases</b> <b>5</b> Fully Vaccinated 5 <b>Unvaccinated</b> <b>0</b>

Yet, even though it is obvious that unvaccinated people do not cause disease outbreaks by the clear evidence noted above, mainstream media distorts the facts. For example, in the San Diego 10/7/2010 outbreak article (Exhibit 3) it stated, to justify the need for vaccination, that “people who have been vaccinated tend to experience a milder case of the illness, **public health officials said.**” How can this be possibly, if **NONE** of the infected persons were identified as unvaccinated? It is all part of a sales pitch!

As part of management of this organization, you know that the survival of this business/organization, like any other business, depends on consistent and increasing profits. If you sell cars, you need to sell more cars to increase profits. **IF YOU WANT TO SELL MORE VACCINES, YOU CONTROL THE ORGANIZATION THAT ESTABLISHES NATIONAL POLICY FOR VACCINE ADMINISTRATION, IN THIS CASE THE CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION (CDC) – WHICH IS WHAT BIG VACCINE PHARMA HAS DONE!** Unfortunately for the general public, vaccines are causing proven irreparable damage to our entire population, young and old, and more and more vaccines are being pushed and required by Big Pharma and the government agencies they control every year. It is projected that **BY 2033 ONE OUT OF EVERY TWO CHILDREN WILL BE AUTISTIC. -- AND I REFUSE TO BECOME A STATISTIC!**

People have become accustom to not questioning our federal and states governments and the CDC’s recommendations, even if it makes no sense. A perfect example: On the day of their birth children are vaccinated with the Hepatitis B vaccine, when the CDC itself admits on its website that the way you can acquire Hepatitis B is through sexual contact; sharing needles, syringes, or other drug-injection equipment; or from mother to a baby at birth. Would it not make more sense, if at all, to test the mother to see if she has Hepatitis B before giving the vaccine to the baby, who no one would expect to use drugs or be involved in sexual intercourse, when they can’t even turn themselves around in their crib? See the CDC’s website on Hepatitis B, at <http://www.cdc.gov/hepatitis/hbv/index.htm>.

Ample credible and confirmed documentation exists to prove that the CDC has been corrupted, one of these sources including the recently released documentary film "**Vaxxed: from Cover-Up to Catastrophe**" which is the story of the head CDC scientist who oversaw a study to confirm if the MMR vaccine caused Autism, **who is now an official whistleblower admitting that the CDC lied** when their study claimed there was no link between Autism and the MMR vaccine, noting that they manipulated the data and that they **were ordered to destroy all negative information** related to **their irrefutable findings that indeed, the MMR vaccine causes Autism.**

### **POTENTIAL FOR LEGAL ACTION**

Here are the specific reasons why you should not discriminate against me or discharge me from employment for refusing vaccination, and why if you do such actions will result in a violation by you and this organization against me of all applicable laws noted above and below and therefore subject to legal litigation and prosecution against you and this organization.

### **RELIGIOUS BELIEF EXEMPTION APPLIES**

I affirm and declare that due to my religious beliefs, I am opposed to any toxin or poison being introduced into my body, "**my temple**", by vaccines or by any other means against my will. My religious belief that **our bodies are our personal temples and that our spirits and souls live in it,** is based on the following and other similar Holy Bible scriptures:

**I Corinthians 3:16-17 King James Version (KJV):**

***"Know ye not that ye are the temple of God, and that the Spirit of God dwelleth in you? If any man defile the temple of God, him shall God destroy; for the temple of God is holy, which temple ye are."***

The personal right and responsibility to maintain a healthy and clean temple/body is a fundamental religious belief that my family and I share, and it is our religious belief and duty to protect ourselves to comply with God's law.

**To Be Clear:** The government, at any level, cannot regulate how I apply and exercise my religious beliefs. This is made clear in the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, which states:

***"Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof."***

The same principle is noted in the California Constitution, Article 1, section 4:

***"Free exercise and enjoyment of religion without discrimination or preference are guaranteed."***

As regards to my God-given right to protect my life and related health, the California Constitution further states, on Article 1, section 1:

***“All people are by nature free and independent and have inalienable rights. Among these are enjoying and defending life and liberty, acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and pursuing and obtaining safety, happiness, and privacy.”***

If you, in your position as an officer of this organization, or the organization itself, or both, discriminate against me or discharge me from employment due to my refusal to be vaccinated, you will be individually and separately liable for damages incurred by me (physically, emotionally and financially) due to violations of all my Natural, Common Law and Constitutional rights, as described above (not to mention criminal prosecution as noted below), as well as in violation of Civil Rights laws and equal employment opportunity regulations against Religious Discrimination.

### **VIOLATION OF OSHA/CAL-OSHA REGULATIONS**

To force me to get vaccinated in order to maintain employment is a violation of OSHA regulations, based on OSHA’s acceptable Permissible Exposure Level (PEL) on mercury. The following Federal OSHA websites show the exposure of mercury to be highly detrimental to human health, my health:

**<https://www.osha.gov/SLTC/mercury/>**

**[https://www.osha.gov/dts/chemicalsampling/data/CH\\_250510.html](https://www.osha.gov/dts/chemicalsampling/data/CH_250510.html)**

The amount of mercury content in the Flu and other vaccines has been proven by independent lab analysis to vary, and not limited to the concentration claims of the vaccines manufacturers (above their claims), and such levels exceed the established OSHA PEL limits to employee exposure.

To force me to be vaccinated in order to maintain employment, is equal to forcing me to be subjected to direct injection, unprocessed, unfiltered amounts of mercury that will be detrimental to my health and will be a violation of Federal and California State OSHA regulations. Separate from any lawsuit herein, I will also consider becoming an OSHA protected whistleblower and will expose my employer’s deliberate violation of OSHA regulations as pertains to my health.

### **VIOLATION OF FEDERAL AND STATE PROTECTED CIVIL RIGHTS**

The U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission defines **“Religious Discrimination”** as follows:

*“Religious discrimination involves **treating a person** (an applicant or employee) **unfavorably because of his or her religious beliefs**. **The law protects** not only people who belong to traditional, organized religions, such as Buddhism, Christianity, Hinduism, Islam, and Judaism, but also **others who have sincerely held religious, ethical or moral beliefs**.”*

**MY RELIGIOUS, ETHICAL AND MORAL BELIEFS, AS IT PERTAINS TO ME AND MY PERSON, IS THAT ANY FORCED VACCINATION IS AGAINST MY WILL – PROHIBITED BY SUCH PERSONAL AND RELIGIOUS BELIEFS AS NOTED HEREIN; AND THAT FORCED VACCINATION, OR BEING COMPELLED TO BE VACCINATED IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN MY EMPLOYMENT, IS A CRIMINAL ACTION AGAINST MY PERSON BY ANYONE INVOLVED DIRECTLY AND INDIRECTLY IN SUCH FORCED VACCINATION; AND WHEN APPLIED TO TWO OR MORE PERSONS – IT IS A CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY!**

Internationally, the **Nuremberg Code** and the Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights, as noted by UNESCO, prohibit forced medication, **which includes forced mandatory vaccination**. Article 6, section 1 clearly states:

***Any preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical intervention is only to be carried out with the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information (to their satisfaction). The consent should, where appropriate, be express and may be withdrawn by the person concerned at any time and for any reason without disadvantage or prejudice.***

Be advised and aware that if you or this organization discriminates against me because of my decision to continue employment without compulsory vaccination, under the Nuremberg code noted above, YOU CAN BE HELD INDIVIDUALLY AND PERSONALLY LIABLE AND ACCUSED OF CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY, WHICH ACTION CAN BE TAKEN AGAINST YOU PERSONALLY AND AGAINST YOUR PROPERTY/ESTATE THROUGH COUNTY, STATE AND FEDERAL COURTS, AS WELL AS THE UNITED NATIONS’ GENERAL ASSEMBLY’S SECURITY COUNCIL, UNDER THE INTERNATIONAL “**SUPERIOR ORDERS**” AND/OR “**NUREMBERG DEFENSE**” doctrine, **especially now that I have made all this information available to you for review and consideration.**

“Superior orders,” often known as the “Nuremberg defense” and “lawful orders” is a plea in a court of law stating that a person, **whether a member of the armed forces OR A CIVILIAN**, not be held guilty for actions which were ordered by a superior officer or a public official/corporate representative. **BE ADVISED: THE DEFENSE OF “SUPERIOR ORDERS” IS NO LONGER CONSIDERED ENOUGH TO ESCAPE PUNISHMENT; BUT MERELY ENOUGH TO LESSEN PUNISHMENT.**

**From the INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT: *Genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes:***

***Pursuant to the Rome Statute, the (ICC) Prosecutor can initiate an investigation on the basis of a referral from any State Party or from the United Nations Security Council. In addition, the Prosecutor can initiate investigations proprio motu on***

*the basis of information on crimes within the jurisdiction of the Court received from individuals or organizations (“communications”)*.

IF I AM VACCINATED AGAINST MY WILL IN ORDER TO RETAIN EMPLOYMENT, I WILL HOLD YOU PERSONALLY RESPONSIBLE FOR A VIOLATION OF MY NATURAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. EVEN WORSE, IF AS A RESULT OF FORCED VACCINATION BY YOUR INTIMIDATING ACTIONS I AM INJURED IN ANY WAY BY SUCH VACCINATION, I WILL SUE YOU PERSONALLY, AS WELL AS THIS ORGANIZATION, FOR DAMAGES AND RIGHTS VIOLATIONS AS MAY BE APPLICABLE AND AVAILABLE BY LAW.

***AND, IF FORCED TO TAKE CIVIL AND CRIMINAL ACTION AGAINST YOU, I WILL ALSO INCLUDE IN SUCH LEGAL ACTION CALIFORNIA SENATOR TONY MENDOZA, WHO SPONSORED THIS BILL, HIS STAFF WHO ASSISTED IN THE PROMOTION OF SUCH BILL, ANY LOBBYING FIRM MEMBERS INVOLVED IN DEVELOPING OR WORKING ON THE BILL, ALL INDIVIDUALS INVOLVED IN HIRING OF LOBBYISTS TO PROMOTE SB 792, ALL CALIFORNIA SENATORS WHO VOTED IN FAVOR OF THIS BILL, AND CALIFORNIA GOVERNOR JERRY BROWN, WHO SIGNED THE LEGISLATION INTO EFFECT, AS WELL AS HIS STAFF WHO ASSISTED HIM IN CARRYING OUT SUCH ORDER.***

Also be advised that if I become aware of any other employees of this organization being confronted with a similar situation as mine regarding forced mandatory vaccination, that I will, **as a religious, ethical and moral obligation**, advise them of their individual rights as described herein, and any action taken by you against me for informing him/her of such rights will be a violation of my U.S. Constitutional right to Freedom of Speech as protected by the First Amendment therein.

**You and this organization can avoid all of the above by simply ignoring SB 792, which I have proven herein is against all applicable law, at all levels.**

## **CONCLUSION**

It is inevitable that the SB 277 and SB 792 legislations will end up challenged in court, up to and including the U.S. Supreme Court level, which legal process may take years, if not decades; and I have no plans or desires to be the one starting such litigation. Yet, based on the clearly defined personal rights noted herein, it is also inevitable to conclude that, if presented with such arguments, a court will rule that forcing a natural born human being (***as opposed to a government created and regulated fictitious entity or their representatives and/or agents***), to be vaccinated, for whatever reason, against their will, is not legal nor supported by Natural Law, Common Law, federal and state constitutional law, nor international law, and thus, as it pertains to my employment with this organization, **MY DECISION NOT TO BE VACCINATED IN ANY WAY AGAINST MY WILL SHOULD NOT BE HELD AGAINST ME NOR SHOULD IT AFFECT MY EMPLOYMENT WITH THIS ORGANIZATION.**

I request that you ignore SB 792 and that you take no action against me for my decision, as noted above, as I have **no wishes to pursue legal action** against you or this organization – **but will if forced to do so, as it affects me and my loved one’s health and livelihood.**

**RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED: UNDER COERSION, DURESS AND THREAT OF LOSS OF MY EMPLOYMENT.**

\_\_\_\_\_  
My Name and Autograph

-----  
**Proof of Delivery:**

This document was delivered in person to \_\_\_\_\_, on this day, \_\_\_\_\_.

Signature of person receiving the document:

\_\_\_\_\_

If the person named above refused to sign, here are my initials to confirm it: \_\_\_\_\_

cc: Attorney