

# IRAQ: WHEN WILL WE TAKE RESPONSIBILITY FOR WHAT OUR GOVERNMENT DID?

Ricardo Beas -- August 2010

To me it is difficult to understand how some people still ask themselves how the United States should proceed in Iraq. If you take all we know now about the misinformation that was used as the basis to invade Iraq and everything that has since followed, it seems to me that the correct thing to do is to completely leave that country -- if not pay them for all the damage in human suffering and infrastructure we have done. And for those that might say, "Well, if there was no connection to 911 and no weapons of mass destruction, we did it to bring them freedom and democracy" -- that is far from what they have now. But let's take it a step further: the United States does not have the right (international or divine) to force upon any nation a different form of government. And our nation is not setting any good example.

We might hear our Presidents stating in international forums that we are a free country and one of laws, a true democracy, but the facts are that the law, both national and international, is not respected by our government's representatives and agencies when necessary to carry out unlawful activities. All you need to do is turn on the news to confirm it. To discuss the Iraq dilemma, let's look at some historical facts. In 1953 the United States, through direct CIA involvement, helped overthrow the government of Iran, all this in retaliation for Iran's decision to nationalize its oil fields and related production. Before then, Iran was in partnership with the Anglo-Iranian Oil Company, now known as British Petroleum, BP. At the time of the Iranian Hostage Crisis in 1979 the United States was arming Saddam Hussein's Iraq for what would become the Iran-Iraq War, which ran from 1980 to 1988. Iraq was given approximately \$40 billion dollar by our government for the cause. -- On June 9, 1992, Ted Koppel reported on ABC's Nightline, "It is becoming increasingly clear that George Bush (Sr.), operating largely behind the scenes throughout the 1980s, initiated and supported much of the financing, intelligence, and military help that built Saddam's Iraq into" the power it became", and "Reagan/Bush administrations permitted -- and frequently encouraged -- the flow of money, agricultural credits, dual-use technology, chemicals, and weapons to Iraq." -- From Wikipedia.

So we were allies and partners with Saddam. Then in 1990 we invaded Iraq, under the pretext that Iraq launched an attack against Kuwait due to a disputed piece of land. What is not commonly known is that via the then U.S. ambassador to Iraq, April Glaspie, Saddam was personally told that President George H.W. Bush and secretary of state James A. Baker, III, and thus the United States government, would not take any position on the Iraq-Kuwait boundary dispute and would not get involved. Do you think Saddam would have invaded Kuwait if he had been warned otherwise? Had we not just fought the Iranians together? In a nutshell, the United States government double-crossed and tricked Saddam into proceeding to invade Kuwait and then it launched its attack against him. Now just close your eyes ... and remember the bombing scenes we saw then on TV ... and again recently -- We did not only betray Saddam, we unleashed destruction and death against all Iraqis. Meanwhile, some were very happy to see

that we could do this with minimum US casualties, as if Iraqi lives have no value. What was the purpose of all this? Well, first keep in mind and like president Franklyn D. Roosevelt once noted, "In politics, nothing happens by accident. If it happens, you can bet it was planned that way."

Not being an insider, it is very difficult to understand all the different moves that happen politically (including covert operations); some things may happen as planned, some are probably a result of failure and then a restructuring of the plan to fit new situations. Personally, I don't understand why the Dessert Storm invasion of 1990/91 did not continue to fruition, having advance within 200 miles of the Iraqi capital, especially seeing how we came back years later; but I am sure there must have been a good reason for it. Maybe the support from the American People was not there and the orchestrators needed something more dramatic, more horrifying, something more widespread and unlimited to spread control of the Middle East, making it a part of the New World Order. Maybe the best option was to wait and have a 9/11-type event with its unending War On Terror and every single negative thing that comes with it, as it applies to our freedom, from the Patriot Act, to the torture of prisoners and the prolong detentions without legal recourse, mandatory federal ID attempts, surveillance of the masses and all the many proposed laws that we now have before both Houses of government, including the control of the internet. The event of 9/11 would justify this in the minds of many. Terror and Anger, perfect motivators!

Proclaimed Internationalist David Rockefeller claimed that to have a new world order there needed to be some sort of catastrophic event to get people behind it. "We are on the verge of a global transformation. All we need is the right major crisis and the nations will accept the new world order." David Rockefeller, statement to the United Nations Business Council, Sept. 1994 (Note: corrects original newsletter typo). In a video interview with the late producer Aaron Russo (<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7nD7dbkkBIA>), Mr. Russo mentioned that Nick Rockefeller, who had become a good friend of his, told him 11 months before 9/11 of a coming event that would lead America into the War on Terror, and even told him that we would be looking for terrorists in the mountains of Afghanistan. And the word democracy continues to be the fuel. As used in a universal context, the words democracy, freedom, and capitalism have become synonymous of each other and have merged now into a single philosophy that holds that all is valid to achieve your goals (politically) and dreams (personally) -- and to some, the ultimate dream is unlimited wealth and power.

Under this banner, organizations such as the International Monetary Fund, the United Nations, the Federal Reserve Bank and affiliated international reserve banks, all of which are controlled by the largest private economic powers in the world and their corporate interests, have united not only to exploit and corrupt third world governments, but have also taken us, under the guise of prosperity, through the control of interest rates and mainstream media, into the global recession the whole world is going through. Remember, first we were told that all nations had to be united via international agreements (in the case of Europe, the creation of the EU, in America the North American Free Trade Agreement and related treaties) to prosper and survive; and now the whole world is going through a financial crisis because we are all too connected.

These actions are resulting in more international agreements by the above and other organizations that are eroding the sovereignty of nations, including ours, creating a tight control not only of money, but also of natural resources (those they are not destroying; read about Monsanto, as well as chemtrails), movement of commerce, education, public policy and our personal movements, physical and financial. From the context of world control, the above words are the keys to destroying third world countries (and who came out with that term anyway?), opening corporate opportunities to exploit local resources for pennies on the dollar, using such things as the International Monetary Fund to put those countries under complete economic control through debt, with the resulting population exploitation. Those that govern those countries are corrupted and get all the money, deposit it back in the same lenders/associates bank accounts, while their populations live in harsh and often deplorable economic conditions.

In the world of power and control, human suffering is an inconvenient result, unless it is a goal unto itself. And sometimes it really seems that way. Some may find it impossible to believe such things, but this sort of sick mentality is found at all levels of human society, and like-minded individuals tend to gravitate to each other. Take a child kidnapper, rapist and murderer. Now set the goal to world domination (as many conquerors and emperors have done in the past), and multiply that by hundreds or thousands, all thinking of riches regardless of who suffers in the process. At the end of World War II the planet was divided to conquer it. The resulting cold war furthered the plan by forcing poor nations to choose sides, communism or capitalism and their intermediaries; and once the world was pretty well divided, the cold war supposedly ended. By the way, the Bolshevik revolution was financed by Wall Street and international interests.

And thus we go back to the Middle East and Iraq. Now the globalist are focused on controlling those kingdoms that do not follow the policies of the so called free enterprise system and thus create American style democracies, were corporate interests own industry, Wall Street, the government and thus our everyday lives. We close our eyes to so many obvious historical facts because we just get too involved in our day to day survival, or maybe we do not want to face something so sinister and contrary to all we have been taught, but we have to at least try to understand what happens around us, because our quality of life depends on it, as well as our descendants' survival.

At this point we are simply sitting back and watching others call the shots. For example, did you know that presently each U.S. citizen owes approximately \$43,000 via the National Debt? Let us not allow politicians and the news media to use "patriotism" and "national security" as a way to manipulate us into supporting the wars we are presently fighting. Let's do our part in any way we can. I remember a co-worker who told me in 2005 that his son had just joined the Marines and that he was going to be a sniper. I told him that it sounded horrible; but he said he supported it and added, "he wanted to be a sniper since he was a kid!" What type of video games was this kid watching and since when should a human being's goal be to "hunt" other human beings?

As to politicians, for the most part they don't seem to work for us. They are continuously being found in financial dealings with corporate interests and sexual scandals, which they first deny, then when the evidence surfaces they admit, then they typically resign, and the rest of their colleges usually exonerate them, making statements like, he was a great man for resigning and not making us go through the hearings. Wolves protect wolves. And most seem to be in someone's pocket. It is time to set a new course in Iraq, Afghanistan and foreign policy in general, and nothing will change unless we get involved. Yes, I support our troops: Bring them back and get them out of harm's way; don't let them fight someone else's war/agenda for profits and control!

Enjoy.

Recommended Videos:

Philip Agee Looks At The Gulf War <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mhsoINW5-dY>

John Perkins - Confessions of an Economic Hitman – In three part <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=P5pgm84XCRs>

Antony Sutton - Wall Street & the Bolshevik Revolution <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7GhPsJCXPqY>